IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16788 of 2025

DATE: 22.12.2025

BETWEEN:

Mohammed @ DS Altaf Basha

.....petitioner/accused No.5

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.5 in Crime No.259 of 2025 before the Siddipet - II Town Police

Station, Siddipet District, registered for the offence punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 17.10.2025 at about 21:00 hours, the complainant, Sri Bommidi Srinivas, had parked his auto bearing No. AP15 TB 5594 beside his house at Shankar Nagar, Siddipet Town. On 22.10.2025 at about 09:00 hours, when he attempted to start the auto, he noticed that the battery was missing. Despite searching the surrounding areas, the battery could not be traced. It was alleged that unknown persons had committed theft of the auto battery worth about Rs.5,500/-.

3. Heard Sri Y. Akhil Goud, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.11.2025 and that the petitioner is innocent of the alleged accusations. He further submitted that the material part of the investigation has been completed. Therefore, he prayed the

Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing the present Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, stating that the stolen property was recovered from the petitioner and that the role attributed to the petitioner shows that he is a receiver of stolen property. He further submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender and that several criminal cases are pending against him. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 27.11.2025. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed, granting bail to the petitioner, and the conditions and sureties imposed

in Crl.P.No.16773 of 2025, vide order dated 22.12.2025, shall apply to the present case in which the petitioner has been granted bail.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 22.12.2025
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16788 of 2025

Date: 22.12.2025

SAI