

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16771 of 2025

DATE: 24.12.2025

Between:

Sri Eslavath Ramjee

.... Petitioner/accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,

.... Respondent

ORDER:

This criminal petition is filed by the petitioner/accused seeking enlargement on bail in Crime No.1611 of 2025 of KPHB Police Station, Kukatpally-Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offence punishable under Section 64(2)(j) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 14.02.2024, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that she was elected as guest faculty in JNTU-Hyderabad in mechanical department and the petitioner herein is the HOD of the department and the complainant has a family, children responsibilities and the petitioner herein used to harass the de-facto complainant and exploited her physically. Hence, she requested for necessary action. Basing on the same, the police registered a case for the above said offence.

3. Heard Sri Ponnam Ashok Goud, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he is falsely implicated in this case and he is in jail since 09.12.2025 and the material part of the investigation was already completed and prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the

petitioner, on the ground that, it is an offence punishable under Section 64(2)(j) of the BNS, which is heinous offence and being the head of the department, the petitioner herein exploited the de-facto complainant, as such, he prayed to dismiss this petition. The de-facto complainant appeared before the Court requesting the Court that, even if the bail is granted, there must be stringent conditions to be imposed as to not to threaten the de-facto complainant.

6. Having regard to the submissions made by both the learned counsel and also the submissions made by the de-facto complainant and the material on record, the petitioner herein is in jail since 09.12.2025. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the period of incarceration of petitioner in jail, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned XV Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, at Kukatpally.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of BNSS.
- iv. The petitioner shall not contact in any manner with the de-facto complainant and shall not threaten the de-facto complainant.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 24.12.2025
TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16771 2025

Date:24.12.2025

TU