

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16477 of 2025

DATE: 17.12.2025

Between:

Kocharla Anil

.... Petitioner/ accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Through P.S. Talakondapally.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER:

This criminal petition is filed by the petitioner/accused seeking enlargement on bail in Crime No.187 of 2025 of Talakondapally Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, Ranga Reddy District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 64(1), 351(2) of the BNS and Section 3 r/w 4

of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act, 2012.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 12.09.2025, the de-facto complainant, who is the Grandmother of the victim, lodged a report before the police stating that she found a small Nokia phone with her granddaughter/victim and when she enquired about the same, she replied that the same was purchased by the accused herein and they were in love and in the name of love, the accused herein sexually exploited her and threatened her not to inform about the incident to anybody and due to the same, she did not reveal the same to her grandmother. Hence, she requested for necessary action. Basing on the same, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri S. Sunanda Hotker, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he is a poor labour, he cannot purchase the mobile phone for the victim

and he is in jail for the past 94 days and he is also aged about 21 years. As such, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition by considering the age of the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and the prosecution also filed charge sheet. As such, the petitioner is not entitled for the bail basing on the incarceration period of the petitioner and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Having regard to the submissions made by both the learned counsel and the material on record and also considering the statement recorded by the concerned Court under Section 183 of the BNS and the facts and circumstances of the case and also the period of incarceration of petitioner in jail, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum

each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial First Class Magistrate, at Amangal.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of BNSS.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 17.12.2025
TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16477 2025

Date:17.12.2025

TU