

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16366 of 2025

DATE: 17.12.2025

Between:

Bodasu Naveen

.... Petitioner/accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through P.S. Jagtial Town, Jagtial District,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent

ORDER:

This criminal petition is filed by the petitioner/accused No.1 seeking enlargement on bail in Crime No.588 of 2025 of Jagtial Town Police Station, Jagtial District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 85 r/w 3(5), 108 r/w 62 of the BNS and Section 4 of the DPA.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 15.09.2025, the de-facto complainant, who is the relative of the victim, lodged a report before the police stating that the marriage of the victim was performed with the accused at about four years back by the dowry and Gold ornaments and the accused used to suspect the victim and due to the harassment of the accused No.1 and other family members, she consumed poison on 29.08.2025 and immediately, she was shifted to the hospital. Hence, he requested for necessary action. Basing on the same, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri K. Sai Sruthin Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that none of the alleged offences attracted to the petitioner and there is no instigation by the petitioner. It is further submitted that even according to the complaint, due to the harassment, she consumed the poison and later, she survived, therefore, the offence under Section 108 of the BNS is not applicable to the present case and he is in jail since 05.12.2025 and prayed the

Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Having regard to the submissions made by both the learned counsel and the material on record, the petitioner herein is in jail since 05.12.2025 and as seen from the record, LWs 1 to 15 witnesses were already examined. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the period of incarceration of petitioner in jail, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned II Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, at Jagtial.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of BNSS.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 17.12.2025
TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16366 2025

Date:17.12.2025

TU