

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16428 of 2025

DATE: 11.12.2025

BETWEEN:

Teja Satya Swaroop

.....petitioner/accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to
enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused in
Crime No.1556 of 2025 before the KPHB Colony Police

Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offence punishable under Section 69 of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the de facto complainant, during ICICI Bank training in Bangalore in 2023, became acquainted with her co-trainee Teja Satya Swaroop, and their relationship developed into love. He promised to marry her, and they later stayed together in a co-living room at Addagutta, KPHB Colony, where he had sexual relations with her. Subsequently, he quarreled with her, vacated the hostel, ignored her calls, and later refused to marry her, stating “do whatever you want.” The complainant further alleged that he is now living with another woman whom he intends to marry. Basing on the same, the crime was registered for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri Mohammed Nazeeruddin Khan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the allegations and has not committed

any offence. He further contended that the relationship between the petitioner and the de facto complainant was consensual, and the petitioner has been in jail since 28.11.2025. He also submitted that the de facto complainant has no objection to the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are heinous in nature. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by both learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the relationship between the petitioner and the de facto complainant was consensual. Furthermore, the de facto complainant has expressed no objection to the grant of bail to the petitioner. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/-

(Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Jail Superintendent, Sanga Reddy Central Jail.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 11.12.2025
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16428 of 2025

Date: 11.12.2025

sai