

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 37711 OF 2025

Between:

1. Sri C. Madhusudhan Yadav, ,S/o. C. Gopal Yadav, aged about 50 years, Occ. Business, R/o. Flat No.108-A, Aditya Sunshine, Khanamet, Hitex, Kondapur, Hyderabad.
2. Smt. C.Vara Lakshmi, W/o. Sri C.Madhusudan Yadav, aged about 46 years, Occ. Business, R/o. Flat No.108-A, Aditya Sunshine, Khanamet, Hitex, Kondapur, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep.by its Principal Secretary, Muncipal Adminstration and Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Tankbund, Hyderabad.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Serilingampally Municipal Circle, Serilingampally, Hyderabad.
4. Sadala Nirmala, W/o. S.Chandra Reddy, Hindu, aged about 52 years, Occ. Housewife, R/o. H.No.6-2-68/4, Shivarampally Village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent nos. 2 and 3 herein in not considering the representations of the petitioners dated 04.01.2025 and 28.02.2025 and failure to remove the illegal structure i.e., gate erected by the respondent no. 4 on the public street leading to land admeasuring 326 sq.yds situated on Plot Nos.2, 3 and 4 (Part) in Sy.Nos.7, 8, 9 and 10 (Part) situated at Madhapur, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as illegal, arbitrary and

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI S.SRIDHAR

Counsel for Respondent No.3 : SRI G.MADHUSUDHAN REDDY, SC FOR GHMC

The Court made the following: ORDER

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.37711 OF 2025

DATE OF ORDER: 09-12-2025

Between:

Mr. C. Madhusudhan Yadav S/o. C. Gopal Yadav and one (1)
another.

... Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana
Rep. By its Principal Secretary,
Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad, and three (3) others.

... Respondents

ORDER : (ORAL)

This writ petition is filed by the petitioners seeking to declare the action of respondent Nos.2 and 3 in not considering their representation dated 28.02.2025 and 04.01.2025 for removing the illegal structures i.e., gate erected by respondent No.4 on the public street leading to Plot Nos.2, 3 and 4 (Part) admeasuring 326 square yards in Survey Nos.7, 8, 9 and 10 (Part), situated at Madhapur, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, as

being illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the provisions of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act 1955 (for short 'GHMC Act').

2. Heard Mr. S. Sridhar, learned counsel for the petitioners, and Mr. G. Madhusudhan Reddy, learned standing counsel for the GHMC, appearing for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners are the absolute owners and possessors of the Plot Nos.2, 3 and 4 (Part) admeasuring 326 square yards in Survey Nos.7, 8, 9 and 10 (Part), situated at Madhapur, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, having purchased the same from respondent No.4 under registered sale deeds bearing document Nos.2985 of 2018, 2986 of 2018 and 2987 of 2018 dated 23.02.2018. The access road to subject property is a public street and vested in the respondent No.2 - GHMC, and there are two (2) temples existing on the said street. On 17.06.2020, the vendor of the petitioners - respondent No.4 herein along with her henchmen erected gate on the public street which is the access road to the subject property of the petitioners. Respondent No.4 has not obtained any permission from respondent

- 1 -

Nos.2 and 3 for erecting the gate. Respondent No.4 has not only erected a gate on the public street but also placed a board mentioning it as "PAID PARKING" and demanded money from the petitioners to remove the gate.

4. It is stated that as respondent No.4 has blocked access to the subject property, the petitioners submitted representation dated 25.06.2020 to respondent No.2 in connection with erection of gate by respondent No.4 and requested to remove the same. The petitioners have also filed W.P. No.16175 of 2020 with regard to same issue. During the pendency of the said writ petition, gate erected by respondent No.4 was removed by the GHMC, recording the same, writ petition was dismissed as infructuous by the order dated 23.12.2021.

5. Grievance of the petitioners is that respondent No.4 and her henchmen have again erected gate on the public road, thus causing nuisance and hindrance to the petitioners in entering their property, as such, they submitted another representation dated 28.02.2025 to take action against respondent No.4 to remove the illegal gate erected by her. As there was inaction on the part of respondent

authorities in considering their application, they were constrained to approach this Court.

6. Learned standing counsel for the GHMC submitted that representation dated 28.02.2025 of the petitioners will be considered and appropriate orders will be passed in accordance with law.

7. Recording the aforesaid submissions of the learned standing counsel for the GHMC, the writ petition is disposed of, directing respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 28.02.2025 for removing the gate erected by respondent No.4 on the public street leading to Plot Nos.2, 3 and 4 (Part) admeasuring 326 square yards in Survey Nos.7, 8, 9 and 10 (Part), situated at Madhapur, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, and pass orders, in accordance with law, by issuing notice to the petitioners and respondent No.4, by affording opportunity of hearing to them, within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. As this order is passed without entering into the merits, notice to respondent No.4 is dispensed with. If respondent No.4 is in any way aggrieved by this order, she is at liberty to approach this Court seeking modification of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in the writ petition stand closed.

SD/-A. SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development, Secretariat, Telangana State, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Tankbund, Hyderabad.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Serilingampally Municipal Circle, Serilingampally, Hyderabad.
4. One CC to SRI S.SRIDHAR, Advocate. [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN URBAN DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
6. One CC to SRI KRISHNA REDDY PUTTA, SC FOR MUNICIPALITY/MC. [OPUC]
7. Two CD Copies.

BSK

LS

PA

HIGH COURT

DATED:09/12/2025

ORDER

WP.No.37711 of 2025



**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

(10)

PA
16/12/25