

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No. 15940 of 2025

DATE: 10.12.2025

Between:

Madur Narsing Rao

.... Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.
(Through P.S. Keesara)

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This criminal petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused No.1 seeking enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.817 of 2025 of Keesara Police Station, Rachakonda Commissionerate. The offence alleged against the petitioner is under Section 108 read with 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 26.10.2025, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report with the police stating that accused Nos. 1 and 2 quarreled with her son, assaulted him, and as a result he became unconscious. She immediately took her son to Gandhi Hospital for treatment. Later that same night, at around 10:00 p.m., her son jumped from the 6th floor due to the quarrel that had taken place between accused Nos.1 and 2 and himself, and he died on the spot. Consequently, the complainant approached the police seeking necessary action. Based on the said complaint, the police registered a case against the petitioner and other accused for the aforementioned offences.

3. Heard Sri K. Ravikanth, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent of the allegations and has been falsely implicated and that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 27.10.2025 and that the material part of the investigation has already been completed. He further submitted that there is no sufficient information to show that the petitioner abetted the deceased in committing suicide. Hence, prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, contending that the petitioner allegations against the petitioner are grave in nature and the investigation is still at an initial stage. Hence, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon a perusal of the material available on record, it is noted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 27.10.2025 and, as per the remand case diary, prosecution witnesses L.Ws.1 to 12, including the investigating officer, have already been examined. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the period of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused No.1, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner-accused No.1 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned III Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Medchal-Malkajgiri District at Kushaiguda.

- ii. The petitioner-accused No.1 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
 - iii. The petitioner-accused No.1 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).
7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Date: 10.12.2025
SS

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15940 OF 2025

DATE :10.12.2025

SS