HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION NO: 36441 OF 2025

Between:

T. Satyanarayana Chary, S/o. Late Narasimha Chari, Aged about 65 years, Retd. Office subordinate, R/o H.No.1-98, Veeravalli Village, Aler Mandal, Yadadri Bhongir District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The State of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Finance and Planning Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The Commissioner of Panchayat, State of Telangana, Himayath Nagar, Hyderabad.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Yadadri Bhongir District.
5. The Mandal Parishad Devolopment Officer, Aler Mandal, Yadadri Bhongir District.
6. The Sub-Treaury Officer, State Audit, Aler, Aler Mandal, Yadadri Bhongir District.
7. The Sub-Treaury Officer, State Audit, Aler, Aler Mandal, Yadadri Bhongir District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents in not regularizing the services of the petitioner with effect from the date of completion of 10 years of Part-time services as on the cutoff date prescribed in G.O.Ms. No.112 dated 23.09.1997, as void, illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the

respondents to consider the representation dated 14.11.2025 for regularization of the services of the petitioner with effect from the date of completion of 10 years of service from the date of his initial appointment for the purpose of pension in terms of Law laid down by the Hbnble Supreme Court in the case of B.Srinivasulu Vs. Nellore Municipal Corporation and also the common order passed by the Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court in WP No.33936 of 2011 and batch dated 02.05.2018 as confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos.13813/2018 dated 23.07.2024 and further direct the respondents to fix and pay the pensionary benefits the date of completion of 10 years of Part-time services along with arrears.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider the representation dated 14.11.2025 for regularization of the services of the petitioner with effect from the date of completion of 10 years of service from the date of his initial appointment for the purpose of pension in terms of Law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of B.Srinivasulu Vs. Nellore Municipal Corporation and also the common order passed by the Division Bench of this Honble Court in WP No.33936 of 2011 and batch dated 02.05.2018 as confirmed by the Honble Supreme Court in SLP Nos.13813/2018 dated 23.07.2024.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI S.JAGADISH

Counsel for the Respondents No.1&3 : GP FOR SERVICES II

Counsel for the Respondents No.2,6&7: GP FOR SERVICES III

Counsel for Respondents No.4&5:SRI K.PRADEEP REDDY SC FOR GP,MP,ZP

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION No.36441 of 2025

THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

Between:

T.Satyanarayana Chary

... Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana,
rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Panchayat Raj Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad, and others.

... Respondents

ORDER :

The Writ Petition is filed aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not regularizing the services of the petitioner as arbitrary and illegal.

2. Heard Sri S.Jagadish, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Government Pleader for Services-II for respondent Nos.1 and 3, learned Government Pleader for Services-III for respondent Nos.2

and 6 and Sri K.Pradeep Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.4 and 5. With their consent, the Writ Petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself

3. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for petitioner submits that the subject matter of this writ petition is squarely covered by the orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.32519 of 2025 dated 28.10.2025 and requested the Court to pass similar order in this writ petition also. The said submission is not seriously disputed by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

4. In view of the above and following the order passed in W.P.No.32519 of 2025 dated 28.10.2025 and in terms thereof, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 14.11.2025 and pass appropriate orders, strictly in terms of Hon'ble Apex Court decision in **B.Srinivasulu v. Nellore Municipal Corporation and others**[1] dated 17.8.2015 and the common order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.33936 of 2011 and batch dated 2.5.2018 as confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in

[1] Civil Appeal No.6318 of 2025

S.L.P.No.13813 of 2018 and batch dated 23.7.2024, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eight (8) weeks thereof from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate a copy of the same to the petitioner. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

SD/- K.BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Secretariat, Telangana State, Hyderabad.
2. The Principal Secretary, Finance and Planning Department, Secretariat, Telangana State, Hyderabad.
3. The Commissioner of Panchayat, State of Telangana, Himayath Nagar, Hyderabad.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Yadadri Bhongir District.
5. The Mandal Parishad Devolopment Officer, Aler Mandal, Yadadri Bhongir District.
6. The Sub-Treaury Officer, State Audit, Aler, Aler Mandal, Yadadri Bhongir District.
7. The Sub-Treaury Officer, State Audit, Aler, Aler Mandal, Yadadri Bhongir District.
8. One CC to SRI S.JAGADISH, Advocate. [OPUC]
9. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES III, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
10. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES II, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
11. One CC to SRI K.PRADEEP REDDY, SC FOR ZPP, MP, GPP. [OPUC]
12. Two CD Copies.


(Along with a copy of the Order, dated 28-10-2025 in W.P.No.32519 of 2025 to this Order)

BSK

BM

HIGH COURT

DATED:03/12/2025



ORDER

WP.No.36441 of 2025

DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS

(6) Copies
Rej
06/02/2026

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION No.32519 OF 2025

O R D E R:

With the consent of the parties, this Writ Petition is being taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following relief:

> *"...issue a Writ, Order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents in snot regularizing the services of the petitioner with effect from the date of completion of 10 years of Part-time services as on the cutoff date prescribed in G.O.Ms. No.112 dated 23.07.1997, as void, illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner with effect from the date of completion of 10 years of service from the date of his initial appointment for the purpose of pension in terms of Law laid down by the Honble Supreme Court in the case of B.Srinivasulu Vs. Nellore Municipal Corporation and also the common order passed by the Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court in WP No.33936 of 2011 and batch dated 02.05.2018 as confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos.13813/2018 dated 23.07.2024 and batch and further direct the respondents to fix and pay the pensionary benefits the date of completion of 10 years of Part-time services along with arrears and pass..."*

3. Heard Sri S. Jagadish, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Government Pleader for Services-II appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4, Sri Pradeep Reddy Katta, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.5 and learned Government Pleader for Services – III appearing for respondent No.6.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially appointed as Part-time Sweeper on 29.10.1979 in the

Panthangi Gram Panchayat, Choutuppal Mandal and had completed 14 years of service as prescribed in G.O.Ms.No.112 dated 23.07.1997. Therefore the petitioner was eligible and qualified for regularization of his services as per G.O.Ms.No.112 dated 23.07.1997. However respondent No.1 issued G.O.Ms.No.382 dated 14.08.2013 regularizing the services of the petitioner along with Part-time employees working in various Gram Panchayats in Nalgonda District as per G.O.Ms.No.112 dated 23.07.1997 in the sanctioned posts of Sweeper in Panthangi Gram Panchayat, Choutuppal Mandal, in pursuance to the same, respondent No.4 issued regularization orders on 04.09.2013 regularizing the services of the petitioner as Sweeper. Subsequently, the petitioner retired from his service on 31.08.2023 while working as Office Sub-ordinate.

5. It is further submitted that as per the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***B. Srinivasulu Vs. Nellore Municipal Corporation and others***[1], the NMR'S/Part-time employees, who have completed 5/10 years of service are entitled for regularization of their services from the date of completion of 5/10 years of service as NMR/Part-time employees and the same decision was also reported in Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.33936 of 2011 and batch dated 02.05.2018. Therefore, learned counsel

[1] Civil Appeal No.6318 of 2025

seeks permission of this Court to make a representation to the respondents seeking retrospective regularization in terms of orders passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***B. Srinivasulu Vs. Nellore Municipal Corporation and others*** dated 17.08.2015 and the common order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.33936 of 2011 and batch dated 02.05.2018 as confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No.13813 of 2018 and batch dated 23.07.2024 and further prays this Court to direct the respondents to consider the representation and pass appropriate orders, within a stipulated period of time.

6. Learned Government Pleader for Services – II and III appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and 6 submits that, on receipt of a copy of the representation, the respondents will consider the same and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

7. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respective parties and without expressing any opinion on merits, this Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to submit a representation in order to redress the his grievance within a period of ten (10) days from the date of receipt of a copy of the order and on receipt of such representation, the respondents are directed to consider the same and pass

appropriate orders, strictly in terms of Hon'ble Apex Court decision in ***B. Srinivasulu Vs. Nellore Municipal Corporation and others*** dated 17.08.2015 and the common order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.33936 of 2011 and batch dated 02.05.2018 as confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No.13813 of 2018 and batch dated 23.07.2024, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eight (08) weeks thereof from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate a copy of the same to the petitioner.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

PULLA KARTHIK, J

Date: 28.10.2025
SRK