

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15709 of 2025

DATE: 05.12.2025

Between:

Polampelly Saikumar

.... Petitioner/accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through P.S. Jagtial Town, Jagtial District,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent

ORDER:

This criminal petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused seeking enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.689 of 2025 of Jagtial Town Police Station, Jagtial District. The offences

against the petitioner are under Sections 75, 78, 308(5) and 351(2) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 13.11.2025, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that she acquainted with the petitioner herein from the last 10 years when she was studying 10th standard and since then they are in relationship. Thereafter, the petitioner herein collected Rs.1,50,000/- from her by threatening her that he will upload both of their photos in social media. It is further stated that the marriage of the de-facto complainant was fixed with another person and he is trying to cancel the marriage by saying abusive words to her fiancé and he is also threatening the de-facto complainant. Hence, she requested for necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri B. Arjun Rao, learned counsel representing Sri K. Sai Sruthin Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he is in jail since 14.11.2025 and no such offence was committed by the petitioner. It is further contended that, even according to the de-facto complainant, they were in love from the last 10 years and there is no such extraction made by the de-facto complainant originally in her complaint and only for not issuing notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS to the petitioner, she falsely implicated him in the present case and the material part of the investigation was already completed and prayed this Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the allegations against this petitioner are serious in nature and the petitioner is not only threatening the de-facto complainant, he also called her fiance and spoke badly about her and he is also blackmailing the de-facto complainant. Hence, he prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on

record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 14.11.2025 and as seen from the record, LWs 1 to 11 witnesses were already examined including the Considering the facts and investigating authority. circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/accused, subject to the following conditions and also directed the petitioner not to induce or try to contact the de-facto complainant and if any, such incident occurred, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor is at liberty to file cancellation of bail.

- i. The petitioner/accused shall execute a personal bond for a sum Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned I Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, at Jagtial.
- ii. The petitioner/accused shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner/accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 05.12.2025

TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15709 2025

Date:05.12.2025

TU