

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15711 of 2025

DATE: 05.12.2025

Between:

Dhamodhar Reddy Myaka

.... Petitioner/accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Through SHO, Thalakondapally P.S.,
Cyberabad.

.... Respondents/Complainant

ORDER:

This criminal petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused seeking enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.212 of 2025 of Talakondapally Police Station, Ranga Reddy District. The

offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 75, 351(2) of the BNS and Section 7 r/w 8 of the POCSO Act-2012.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 22.10.2025, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that the daughter of the de-facto complainant aged about 17 years went to the house of the petitioner in summer holidays, wherein, the petitioner herein tried to assault her physically two times and she informed the same to the de-facto complainant and the next day, the de-facto complainant has not lodged any complaint before the police as it is a question of young girl. Thereafter, the petitioner harassed and threatened the victim. Hence, she requested for necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri M. Rathan Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State. Though notice is served to the victim, none appeared on behalf of the complainant.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the alleged offences are punishable below seven (07) years and the petitioner is in jail since 06.11.2025 and the entire investigation is completed. It is further contended that, even before registration of this crime, the petitioner herein lodged a complaint before the police against the de-facto complainant in the month of April and the same was investigated and charge sheet is also filed and it is only a counter blast case against the petitioner. Hence, requested this Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the allegations against this petitioner are serious in nature, therefore, he is not entitled for bail and there is every chance of threatening the victim due to the relationship between the parties and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 06.11.2025 and according to the prosecution, 183 statement of the victim is also recorded and LWs 1 to 10

were already examined including the investigating authority. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/accused, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner/accused shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate, at Amangal.
- ii. The petitioner/accused shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner/accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in

Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently,
Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall
stand closed.

Date: 05.12.2025
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15711 2025

Date:05.12.2025

TU