

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No. 15505 of 2025

DATE: 04.12.2025

Between:

Munwar Khan @ Munawar Khan

.... Petitioner/Accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
Through SHO, P.S. Amberpet,
High Court of Telangana.

.... Respondent

ORDER

This criminal petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused seeking his enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.229 of 2025 of Amberpet Police Station, Hyderabad. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 318(4) and 69 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 23.05.2025, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report with the police stating that the petitioner became acquainted with her in 2023 and, under the promise of marriage, physically exploited her. She further stated that she had given an amount of Rs.2,90,000/- to the petitioner, who also promised to provide her with a job. However, he failed to provide the job, continued to exploit her physically, and ultimately refused to marry her. Consequently, she approached the police seeking necessary action, and based on her complaint, the police registered a case against the petitioner for the aforementioned offences.

3. Heard Sri Mohd. Hamed, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that the petitioner is about 45 years of age, already married, and has children, and that no promise of marriage was ever made by him. He further contended that, with an intention to harass the petitioner, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report with false allegations and that the petitioner

has been in judicial custody since 27.10.2025 and that the crucial part of the investigation has already been completed. Therefore, prayed this Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, contending that the allegations against the petitioner are grave in nature and the investigation is still ongoing. Therefore, prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon a perusal of the material available on record, it is evident that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 27.10.2025. As per the remand case diary, prosecution witnesses LWs.1 to 14, including the investigating authority, have already been examined. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner-accused, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner-accused shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned IV Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Hyderabad.
- ii. The petitioner-accused shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner-accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 04.12.2025
SS

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15505 OF 2025

DATE: 04.12.2025

SS