

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15496 of 2025

DATE: 02.12.2025

Between:

Chouti Singh

.... Petitioner/Accused No.46

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
Through Station House Officer,
Rachakonda Narcotics Police Station,
TG-Anti Narcotics Bureau, Rachakonda,
Ranga Reddy District.

.... The State/Respondent

ORDER

This criminal petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/A.46 seeking enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.01 of 2025 of Rachakonda Narcotics Police Station, TG Anti-Narcotics Bureau (TGANB), Rangareddy District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under

Section 8(c), 22(c), 29, 27A, 27(a) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act').

2. The case of the prosecution is that on reliable information that some persons are selling cocaine and Ecstasy Pills to the customers, the police conducted raid and seized the contraband of 150 grams of Cocaine and 1100 Ecstasy Pills from the possession of accused. As such, police registered the case against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Smt. D. Priyanka, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner herein is A.46. The allegations against him is that he is a distant relative of A.25 and has been working in a cable manufacturing company at Ahemdabad, basing on the whatsapp messages of A.25, the petitioner was arrayed as A.46 and there was no role attributed to the petitioner. she further contended that petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is in jail from 04.06.2025. Hence, requested this Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the allegations against this petitioner are serious in nature, investigation is not yet completed and some of the accused are absconding. At this stage, petitioner is not entitled for bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 04.06.2025. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed and L.Ws.1 to 23 witnesses has been examined and no charge sheet is filed since 180 days. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/A.46, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner/A.46 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judicial First Class Magistrate – cum – Excise Magistrate – cum - V Additional Metropolitan Magistrate-cum-V Additional Junior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar.

- ii. The petitioner/A.46 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner/A.46 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 02.12.2025
SS

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15496 of 2025

Date: 02.12.2025

SS