

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15475 of 2025

DATE: 02.12.2025

Between:

Khaled @ Kaleq @ Rustum @ Ravi @ Dishad
.... Petitioner/Accused No.2

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad.
(Through SHO, Pargi PS)
.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the petitioner/A.2 seeking his enlargement on bail in Crime No.215 of 2025 of Pargi Police Station, Vikarabad District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 310(4), 132, 281, 61(2) of BNS and Section 27(2) of Arms Act, 1959.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 28.07.2025, the *de-facto* complainant was performing vehicle checking at the Sulthanpur village gate. In the meantime, one motorcycle bearing No. KA38EB 0776, with three persons on board, was proceeding towards Sulthanpur village from Pargi village. When the complainant and his staff attempted to stop the said vehicle, the rider did not comply and instead drove the motorcycle in a rash manner. After some distance, the vehicle was stopped, but while the complainant and staff were trying to search them, the riders fled from the spot, leaving behind the motorcycle. In the process, a country-made weapon fell to the ground. Upon searching the motorcycle, a bag was found containing (1) three raincoats, (2) two towels, (3) one screwdriver, (4) one long iron rod, (5) one pair of hand gloves, (6) two sharp iron rods with wooden holders, and (7) one pair of country-made ammunition, indicating that the accused were on the verge of committing an offence. Accordingly, the complainant requested that necessary action be taken against them. Basing on the same, a case was registered against them for the abovementioned offences.

3. Heard Sri V. Brahmaiah Chowdary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent and that there are no specific overt acts attributed to him in the commission of the alleged crime and that although the petitioner was previously involved in dacoity and NDPS cases, with a total of four crimes pending against him in the State of Karnataka, in the present case there is no direct evidence linking him to the offence. The petitioner has been in judicial custody since 06.09.2025, i.e., for more than 85 days, and even till date no charge sheet has been filed. Hence, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor filed a counter stating that accused Nos.1 to 10 had formed a gang and were preparing to commit property offences such as bank robbery and jewellery shop robbery at various places in the country, under the name of the 'Guddu gang' and that the petitioner is not entitled to bail as several other accused are absconding and their arrest is necessary to

complete the investigation. Therefore, prayed the Court dismiss the criminal petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by the respective counsel and the material available on record, it is noted that the petitioner is arrayed as Accused No.2 and has been in judicial custody since 06.09.2025. As seen from the remand case diary, except Accused Nos.2 and 6, all other accused are absconding, and the prosecution witnesses, LWs.1 to 12, have already been examined. Till date, no charge sheet has been filed. Taking into account the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, the stage of investigation, and the fact that the charge sheet has not yet been filed, this Court deems it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner/A.2 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate, Pargi.

- ii. The petitioner/A.2 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner/A.2 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Date : 02.12.2025
SS

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15475 OF 2025

DATE : 02.12.2025

SS