IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025
In/And
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 15410 OF 2025

Between:

1. Devarashetti Kiran, S/o late D. Shankaraiah, Aged about 42 years, Occupation: Private Employee, R/o. Flat No. 303, H.No. 14-1-90/492, Allapur, Gayathri Nagar, Borbanda, Near Hari Har Temple, Sanathnagar IE, Hyderabad-500018.
2. D. Jayaprada, W/o. Shankaraiah, Aged 66 years, Occupation: Housewife, R/o, Flat No. 303, H.No. 14-1-90/492, Allapur, Gayathri Nagar, Borbanda, Near Hari Har Temple, Sanathnagar IE, Hyderabad-500018.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 & 2

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by the Public Prosecutor High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad For the state of Telangana.
2. Smt. Pamu Ramya, W/o Sri. Devarashetti Kiran, Aged about 36 years, Occupation. Pvt. Employee, R/o.Sy. No.82, 82/1, Surya Classic Apartment, Gajularamaram, Hyderabad-500055

...Respondents/Defacto Complainant

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in C.C. No. 518 of 2023 on the file of Hon'ble I Additional Junior Judge-Cum-IX Additional Judicial Magistrate Of First Class, Ranga Reddy District At Kukatpally against the petitioners / accused.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings including appearance of the Petitioner/Accused No.1 & 2 in C.C. No. 518 of 2023 on the file of I Additional Junior Judge-Cum-IX Additional Judicial Magistrate Of First Class, Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally, pending disposal of the above criminal petition.

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025

I.A. NO: 3 OF 2025

Between:

Smt. Pamu Ramya, W/o Sri. Devarashetti Kiran, Aged about 36 years, Occupation. Pvt. Employee, R/o.Sy. No.82, 82/1, Surya Classic Apartment, Gajularamaram, Hyderabad-500055

...Petitioner/Defacto Complainant

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by the Public Prosecutor High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad For the state of Telangana.

...Respondent/Respondent

2. Devarashetti Kiran, S/o late D. Shankaraiah, Aged about 42 years, Occupation: Private Employee, R/o. Flat No. 303, H.No. 14-1-90/492, Allapur, Gayathri Nagar, Borbanda, Near Hari Har Temple, Sanathnagar IE, Hyderabad-500018.
3. D. Jayaprada, W/o. Shankaraiah, Aged 66 years, Occupation: Housewife, R/o, Flat No. 303, H.No. 14-1-90/492, Allapur, Gayathri Nagar, Borbanda, Near Hari Har Temple, Sanathnagar IE, Hyderabad-500018.

...Respondents/Petitioners

Petition under Section 359 (6) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner/defacto complainant to compromise the proceedings against the Respondent/accused No.2 herein in C.C. No. 518 of 2023 on the file of Hon'ble I Additional Junior Judge-Cum-IX Additional Judicial Magistrate Of First Class, Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally.

I.A. NO: 4 OF 2025

Petition under Section 315 (2) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to record the compromise in criminal case vide C.C. No. 518 of 2023 on the file of Hon'ble I Additional Junior Judge-cum-IX Additional Judicial Magistrate Of First Class, Ranga Reddy District At Kukatpally against the Respondent/accused No.2 herein for the u/sec.498-A IPC and quash the same by allowing the present petition.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Jangam Sravan ,Advocate for the Petitioners and Sr Jithender Rao Veeramalla, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and of Sri P Satyanand, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025
IN/AND
CRIMINAL PETITION No.15410 of 2025

Date: 09.12.2025

Between:

Devarashetti Kiran and another

....Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 and 2

AND

The State of Telangana, represented by its Public Prosecutor and another.

....Respondents

COMMON ORDER:

This Criminal Petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2, seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C. No.518 of 2023 on the file of the I Additional Junior Civil Judge cum IX Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally.

2. Heard Mr. J.Sravan, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr.V.Jithender Rao, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. P.Satyanand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submi ted that during the pendency of the present Criminal Peti ion at the instance of the well wishers, relatives and elders, the parties have settled the dispute and entered into compromise and filed Joint Memo. Accordingly, the parties herein have filed I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025 to permit them to compromise the matter and to record the compromise.

4. This Court *vide* order, dated 25.11.2025, directed the parties i.e., the petitioners and respondent No.2, to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee, Hyderabad, on or before 02.12.2025. In compliance of the said order, the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, has submitted report, dated 02.12.2025, stating that the petitioners and respondent No.2 were duly identified with copies of their Driving License/Aadhar Cards. It is further stated that the parties i.e. petitioners and respondent No.2 have willfully and without any coercion entered into compromise.

5. In view of the said report and also in view of the compromise entered between the petitioners and respondent No.2 as well as the submissions made by the respective parties, I.A.Nos 3 and 4 of 2025 are allowed. Consequently, the proceedings in C.C. No.518 of 2023 on the file of the I Additional Junior Civil Judge cum IX

Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally, are hereby quashed against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed, subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) to the High Court Legal Services Committee and Rs.5,000/-(Rupees five thousand only) to the Telangana High Court Advocates Association, Hyderabad, by the petitioners within two weeks from today.

Miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- M.OSMAN ALI BAIG,
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR.

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The I Additional Junior Civil Judge cum IX Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Kukatpally, Ranga Reddy
2. The Station House Officer, WPS IT Corridor Cyberabad (Cyberabad), Cyberabad
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri Jangam Sravan, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri P Satyanand, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CD Copies


ABK

Along with a copy of Joint Memo of Compromise

Note: Total Amount of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) has been paid by Sri Jangam Sravan, Counsel for the Petitioners as directed by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 09.12.2025 as Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the "Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, High Court for the State of Telangana" ., and Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) to the Telangana High Court Advocates Association, Hyderabad and filed Memo as proof of compliance USR No. 144772 of 2025 and Receipt No. 1794 dated 12-12-2025.

HIGH COURT

DATED: 09/12/2025



COMMON ORDER

I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025
In/And

CRLP.No.15410 of 2025

ALLOWING THE I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2025 AND

THE CRIMINAL PETITION

(9)

kpr
20/12/25

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

Crl.P.No. OF 2025

Between:

1. SRI DEVARASHETTI KIRAN, S/o .Late D. Shankaraiah, Aged about 42 years, Occupation: Private Employee, R/o, Flat No. 303, H.No. 14-1-90/492, Allapur, Gayathri Nagar, Borbanda, Near Hari Har Temple, Sanathnagar IE, Hyderabad-500018.

2. D. JAYAPRADA, W/o. Shankaraiah, Aged. 66 years, Occupation: Housewife, R/o, Flat No. 303, H.No. 14-1-90/492, Allapur, Gayathri Nagar, Borbanda, Near Hari Har Temple, Sanathnagar IE, Hyderabad-500018.

...Petitioners /Accused no.1 &2

AND

1. The State of Telangana,
Rep by the Public Prosecutor
High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad
For the state of Telangana.

...1st Respondent/State

2. SMT. PAMU RAMYA, W/o Sri. Devarashetti Kiran, Aged about 36 years, Occupation: Pvt. Employee, R/o.Sy. No.82,82/1, Surya Classic Apartment, Gajularamaram, Hyderabad-500055

.. 2nd respondent / Defacto Complainant

JOINT MEMO FILED ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER AND RESPONDENT NO.2

May it please your Honour !

1. The Petitioners humbly submits that the Respondent No.2 is the legally wedded wife of the Petitioner No.1. The marriage between the petitioner no.1 & respondent no.2 was performed on was performed on 13.05.2009

Kiran

Ramya

at Arya Samaj, Sultan Bazar, Hyderabad, according to Hindu rites and customs and the marriage is love marriage

2. After marriage, the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 lived together for few years.

3. Thereafter some disputes arose between them and the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 have lastly resided together at Hyderabad and they have been living separately since more than 3 years i.e., since 14th October, 2022.

4. Subsequently several mediations took place at the behest of the common elders of both sides to settle the dispute amicably but their efforts resulted in vain. The Petitioner No.1 and the Respondent No.2 have been living separately and they have not been able to live together, in view of the disputes which arose between them.

5. That both the Petitioner No.1 and the Respondent no.2 have mutually agreed that the marriage should be dissolved by a decree of divorce as there is absolutely no possibility of the parties living together and they have reduced the same into Memorandum of Understanding Dt.01-11-2025.

6. The Petitioner No.1 & Respondent No. 2 have agreed to dissolve the marriage Dt.13.05.2009 by way of divorce by mutual consent, through Court of Law.

7. That the Petitioner No.1 agreed to give a sum of Rs. 18,50,000/- (Rupees Eighteen Lakhs Fifty Thousand Only) in the name of elder son - MASTER. **D. PRATHIK**, aged about 14 years by way of Fixed Deposits before any Nationalized Bank as security for his higher education and future upbringing. The Petitioner No.1 agreed to give a sum of Rs.18,50,000/- (Rupees Eighteen Lakhs Fifty Thousand Only in the name of younger son- MASTER. **D. GAURAV PRADHAN** aged about 7 years by way of fixed deposits before any Nationalized Bank as security for his higher education and future upbringing. Both the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 cannot withdraw the fixed deposit amounts and only the beneficiaries are entitled to withdraw same upon legally attaining 18 years at the time of higher education. This amount is paid through D.D's in the name of their minor son's MASTER. D. PRATHIK, MASTER. D. GAURAV PRADHAN which is handed over to the respondent no.2 on the date of withdrawal of the Maintenance case i.e., M.C. No.115 of 2022 & FCOP No. 70/2023, & GWOP No.2/2023. The two DD's i.e., Each for Rs.18,50,000/- will be fixed deposited in their joint account of respondent no.2 and minor sons. Fixed deposits shall be for duration of 4 years, upon attainment of maturity of the elder son that is MASTER. D. PRATHIK, after which it can be withdrawn. Fixed deposit shall be for a duration of 11 years, upon attainment of maturity of the younger son that is MASTER. D. GAURAV PRADHAN, after which it can be withdrawn.

8. That both fixed deposits shall be done within 7 working days of receiving DD, and bank statement of same shall be shared to the Petitioner No.1 by the respondent no.2. Both fixed deposit bank statement shall be shared with Petitioner No.1 by respondent no.2, when asked for by the Petitioner No.1.

9. That the Petitioner No.1 agreed to pay a sum of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only) per month till his attaining the age of 18 years as per the Universal Adult Franchise starting from December, 2025 subject to an increase of 10% per annum on Rs. 15000/-, as monthly maintenance of MASTER. D. PRATHIK with the agreement of the respondent no.2 to provide for visitation rights towards MASTER D. PRATHIK every 2nd Saturday or Sunday and 4th Sunday every month. The respondent no.2 shall ensure to send MASTER D. PRATHIK along with MASTER. D. GAURAV PRADHAN between 11am to 5pm initially by the respondent no.2 in her presence for the 1st three months to a Mall or any public place as mutually decided. After completion of 3 months the respondent no.2 shall start sending MASTER. D. PRATHIK along with his brother MASTER. D. GAURAV PRADHAN to the residence of the 1st Party at Flat No. 303, H.No. 14-1-90/492, Allapur, Gayathri Nagar, Borbanda, Near Hari Hara Temple, Sanathnagar IE, Hyderabad 500018 vide hired car with prior information to the 1st Party at her own expense such that the children reach by 11.00 A.M. to the residence of the Petitioner No.1 by following the above timings. Thereafter at 5.00 P.M. the Petitioner

No.1 shall be responsible to send the children in a hired car at his own expense back to the residence of the respondent no.2 with prior information to the respondent no.2.

9. That the Petitioner No.1 agreed to pay a sum of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only) per month till his attaining the age of 18 years as per the Universal Adult Franchise starting from December, 2025 subject to an increase of 10% per annum on Rs. 15000/-, as monthly maintenance of MASTER. D. GAURAV PRADHAN with the agreement of the respondent no.2 to provide for visitation rights towards MASTER. D. GAURAV PRADHAN every 2nd Saturday or Sunday and 4th Sunday every month. The respondent no.2 shall ensure to take MASTER. D. GAURAV PRADHAN along with MASTER. D. PRATHIK between 11am to 5pm initially by the 2nd Party in her presence for the 1st three months at Mall or any public place as mutually decided. After completion of 3 months the respondent no.2 shall start sending MASTER. D. GAURAV PRADHAN along with his brother MASTER. D. PRATHIK to the residence of the 1st Party at Flat No. 303, H.No. 14-1-90/492, Allapur, Gayathri Nagar, Borbanda, Near Hari Hara Temple, Sanathnagar IE, Hyderabad-500018 vide hired car at her own expense with prior information to the Petitioner No.1 such that the children reach by 11.00 A.M. to the residence of the Petitioner No.1 by following the above timings. Thereafter at 5.00 P.M. the Petitioner No.1 shall be responsible to send the children in a hired car at his own expense back to the residence of the respondent no.2 with prior information to the respondent no.2 .

10. That the Petitioner No.1 agreed to pay the arrears of maintenance case vide M.C. 115 of 2022 i.e., Rs.7,00,000/- (Rupees Seven Lakhs Only) from November, 2022 to November, 2025 vide Cheque No.387080 ,IDBI BANK, ROAD NO.2, BANJARA HILLS BRANCH in the name of the respondent no.2. The cheque will be handed over to the respondent no.2 on the date of appearance before the State Legal Services Authority/Mediation Centre at High court of the Telangana for closing of the criminal case i.e ., CC 518 of 2023 .

10. That the Petitioner No.1 and respondent no.2 agreed to withdraw all the Pending cased being FCOP No.70 of 2023 (by converting the same into a case for Mutual consent); M.C. No.115 of 2022 Maintenance case), CC No.518 of 2023 (Offence u/sec.498A IPC) and GWOP No.2/2023, CRLP 7307/2025 and CRP 1832 OF 2025 in the Family Courts, Magistrate Court and High Court respectively and not to make any claims against each other and not to initiate any Civil or Criminal cases whatsoever against each other and their family members in future.

11. That the Divorce petition i.e., FCOP No.70 of 2022, filed by the respondent no.2 shall be amended as Section 13(B) of Hindu marriage Act 1956 for granting decree of Mutual Consent Divorce. That the Petitioner No.1 and respondent no.2 agreed to withdraw all the pending cases against each other as per the MOU Dt.01-11-2025.

Kiran

Hence, it is prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the oceedings in C.C. No. 518 of 2023 on the file of HON'BLE I ADDITIONAL JNIOR JUDGE-CUM-IX ADDL. JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS, RANGA EDDY DISTRICT AT KUKATPALLY against the Petitioners /Accused no.1 &2 for ne offence u/sec.498-A IPC., in view of the compromise entered between the petitioners and respondent/defacto complainant, in the interest of justice.

Place : Hyderabad.
Date : -11-2025

Petitioner/Accused No.1

Petitioner/Accused No.2

Respondent No.2/Defacto complainant

Counsel for Petitioners

Counsel for Respondent/Defacto complainant