

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15321 of 2025

DATE: 10.12.2025

Between:

Shaik Rafi Baba

.... Petitioner/accused No.10

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Through SHO, Cyber Crime P.S., (Hqrs),
Telangana Cyber Security Bureau,
Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') to enlarge the petitioner on bail, who is arrayed as accused No.10 in Crime No.34 of 2025 of Cyber Crime Police Station,

TSCSB (TG Cyber Security Bureau (TGCSB), registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 338 of the BNS and Section 66-D of the ITA Act, 2000-2008.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 01.08.2025, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that he came to contact with a group operating under the name Choice Securities Ltd, who claimed to be a stock broking firm and they are having their own trading platform and different bank accounts to deposit funds and they promised high returns on investments and he deposited small amounts and the same was returned and after seeing convincing returns, he started depositing larger amounts and totally he invested Rs.4.79 Crores and he received Rs.87 Lakhs and lost Rs.3.92 Crores and when he realized the scam, he stopped trading. Hence, he requested for necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences and basing on the confession statement of accused No.11, the petitioner herein is arrayed as accused No.10 and the allegations against the petitioner herein are that accused No.7 offered him Commission for procuring new bank accounts and at the instigation of

accused No.7, the petitioner procured accused No.11 and other persons bank accounts for cyber crime and as such, the petitioner's role is mentioned in the remand case diary.

3. Heard Sri Kona NDV Ramana Rao, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri E. Ganesh, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner herein is no way connected with the said allegations and he is innocent of the said allegations and even according to the remand case diary, the petitioner is having bank account in HDFC Bank and none of the bank account numbers are mentioned in the tabular form belonging to the petitioner and he is in jail since 14.11.2025 and the police custody was also given to the petitioner for four days and that was also completed and prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for

petitioner, on the ground that, the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and it is a serious offence of fraud, which requires detailed investigation and the investigation is not yet completed and some of the accused as on today are absconding and as such, the petitioner is not entitled for the bail and prayed the Court to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and on a perusal of the material available on record, the petitioner is in jail from 14.11.2025 and even according to the prosecution, the police custody of the petitioner was also given for four days and the same was also concluded. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/accused No.10, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner/accused No.10 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only), with two local sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned VI Additional Metropolitan Magistrate Court, at Nampally.
- ii. The petitioner/accused No.10 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on

every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner/accused No.10 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of BNSS.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 10.12.2025

TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15321 of 2025

Date: 10.12.2025

TU