

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15276 of 2025

DATE: 04.12.2025

BETWEEN:

Valaboju Kedareeswar @ Kedeshwar

.....petitioner/accused No.4

And

The State of Telangana,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor,

High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.4 in Crime No.148 of 2024 of Gummadidala Police Station, Sangareddy District, registered for the offences

punishable under Sections 120-B, 364, 302 and 506 read with 34 of IPC.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 27.05.2024, the de facto complainant reported that her husband Ramakrishna, who had been residing with her in Mumbai for the past two years and frequently travelled for his online trading business, suddenly stopped responding to her calls after requesting Rs.1,00,000/- on 25.05.2024. On the following day, she was informed by one Md. Fizal that unknown persons had murdered her husband and disposed of the body in the Krishna River backwaters. She identified the deceased at the Government Area Hospital, Devarakonda, and lodged a complaint. Basing on the said report, the police registered a case and later implicated the petitioner as accused No.4 solely on the basis of the confession of co-accused, despite no overt act being attributed to him except travelling in a vehicle along with other accused persons.

3. Heard Sri Ajay Kumar Maddisetty, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun

Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was falsely implicated without any specific role in the alleged offence and that the remand report did not disclose any overt act against him apart from accompanying the other accused. He further submitted that several co-accused, including accused Nos.3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12, were already enlarged on bail and that the investigation was substantially completed except for filing the charge sheet. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature. Further, the investigation was not yet completed. At this stage, granting of bail to the petitioner does not arise. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 11.11.2025. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed and L.Ws.1 to 23 witnesses have been examined. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Jinnaram.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose

of investigation, and thereafter, as
and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the
conditions stipulated in Section
437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section
480(3) of the BNSS).

8. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall
stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 04.12.2025
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15276 of 2025

Date: 04.12.2025

SAI