

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15554 of 2025

DATE: 03.12.2025

Between:

Syed Adnan

.... Petitioner/accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER:

This criminal petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/A.1 seeking enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.824 of 2025 of Jagadgirigutta Police

Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offence punishable under Section 89 of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant, who is the wife of accused No.1, lodged a report before the police on 12.08.2025 stating that the petitioner herein along with other accused beat the de-facto complainant on her stomach knowing that she is a pregnant lady. Previously, there are disputes between the husband and wife and she also lodged a report before the police in FIR.No.8 of 2025 and also filed DVC case against the petitioner herein due to the harassment and physical ill-treatment along with the parents and relatives and she got aborted.

3. Heard Sri K. S. S. Ayyubi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that it is the false case lodged by the de-facto complainant and in fact, the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he is in jail from 24.10.2025 and the material part of the

investigation was already completed and prayed this Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious in nature and because of the physical ill-treatment of the de-facto complainant by the petitioner, the de-facto complainant was aborted and therefore, he is not entitled for the bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail from 24.10.2025 and as seen from the record, LWs 1 to 10 witnesses were already examined including the investigating authority. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/A.1, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner/A.1 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for

a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned XIV Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, at Kukatpally, Cyberabad.

- ii. The petitioner/A.1 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner/A.1 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date:03.12.2025
TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15554 of 2025

Date: 03.12.2025

TU