IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 14994 OF 2025

Between:

Bhima Pujari, S/o. Sahadeb Pujari, Aged. 31 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. H.No. Singal Bachha Village, Malthili Mandal,Malkangiri District, Oddisa State.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana, Through Public Prosecutor High Court at Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the petitioner/Accused No.1 on bail in the interest of justice. In connection with, Crime No. 806 of 2025 P.S. Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Gulab Singh, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri D.Arun Kumar, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent state.

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TEL ANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJ NA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.14994 of 202

2nd DAY OF DECEMBER 2025

Between:

Bhima Pujari.

P ETITIONER

The State of Telangana, Through Public Prosecutor
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

RESPONDENT

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.806 of 2025 of Saroornagar Police Station, Rachakonda Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Section 8(c) read with 20(b)(ii) C) of NDPS Act.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 19.07.2025, the complainant received credible information regarding the illegal

transportation of NDPS substance. Acting on this information, the complainant conducted a raid and seized 4 liters of hashish oil which is about 3.75 kilograms from the possession of accused No.1 and 2 under cover of panchanama and accused No.1 was arrested, and a case was registered against him for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) read with 20(b)(ii)(C) of NDPS Act.

3. Heard Sri Gulab Singh, learned counsel for petitioner, and Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and the allegations leveled against the petitioner are false and that he has been falsely implicated in the present crime for statistical purposes and that the police conducted the search and recorded the panchanama without adhering to the mandatory procedures prescribed under the provisions of the NDPS Act. He further stated that the petitioner was arrested on 19.07.2025 and that the investigation has been completed, except for the filing of the charge sheet and that the petitioner is willing to cooperate with the investigation and also ready to abide by the

conditions, which are going to be imposed by this Court. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, stating that the alleged contraband involved in this case amounts to 3.75 Kgs of Hashish oil, which constitutes a commercial quantity. He further submitted that the investigation is in progress and if the petitioner is released on bail, at this stage, he may tamper with the evidence and may threaten the witnesses. Hence, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. Having regard to the rival submissions made and on going through the material placed on record, it is noted that previously the petitioner had filed Crl.P.Nos.11108 and 12588 of 2025 before this Court seeking the relief of anticipatory bail and the same were dismissed. That being so, it is noticed that there are no changed circumstances back then in the earlier bail petition, and the present bail petition. As such, this Court is not inclined to grant the relief as sought for, by the

petitioner. There are no merits in this Criminal Petition, and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is dismissed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Sd/- K.BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Special Judicial Magistrate of First Class (Excise)-cum-V Addl. Junior Civil Judge-cum-V Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Rangareddy District at L.B.Nagar.
2. The Station House Officer, Saroornagar Police station, Rachakonda.
3. One CC to Sri. Gulab Singh, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies.

YJR/DL

HIGH COURT

DATED:02/12/2025



ORDER

CRLP.No.14994 of 2025

DISPOSING OF THE CRIMINAL PETITION.

8
ASN
19/12/25