

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 5319 OF 2018

Petition under Article 227 of the constitution of India against the Orders passed in I.A.No. 265/2016 in R.C.C.No. 1/2016 on the file of the Learned Principal Junior Civil Judge, Kothagudem, Khammam District

Between:

Md.Sabeen, S/o. Ibrahim, Aged about 41 years, Occ Business at 5-4-24, R/o H.No. 7-9-13/1, New Gollagudem, Kothagudem Town and Mandal, Khammam District.

...PETITIONER/PROPOSED PARTY AS RESPONDENT NO.2

AND

1. Chandranarayan Awasthi, S/o. Nalaprasad Awasthi, Aged about 43 years, Occ. Railway Employee, R/o. 18-3-408, Kandikalgate, Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENT/PETITIONER

2. Md.Afzalmiya, S/o. Not known, Aged about 35 Years, Occ. Business, R/o. Pedda Bazar, Kothagudem Town, Khammam District.

...RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT NO.1

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 of CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings in RCC No.1 of 2016 on the file of the Hon'ble Principal Junior Civil Judge, Kothagudem, Khammam District.

IA NO: 2 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 of CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to receive the release deed, electricity bills and property tax receipts by way of Additional evidence.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. VIJAY B PAROPAKARI (No representation)

Counsel for the Respondents: None appeared

The Court made the following: ORDER

ORDER:

When the matter was listed on 03.09.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and then the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'For Dismissal.'

2. Accordingly, today when the matter was called, though the Court proceedings were made available in Hybrid mode (both Virtual & Physical), there was no representation on behalf of petitioner in the morning session and the matter was passed over to afternoon session to give a fair opportunity to the petitioner to represent their case, however, when the matter was taken up in the afternoon session i.e., on 3:15 pm, none appeared for the petitioner.

3. In view of the above, it appears that petitioner is not interested to pursue the matter, hence, this Court has no other option except to dismiss the matter for non-prosecution.

4. Accordingly, C.R.P. is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

5. As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

**SD/-M.NAGAMANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. The Principal Junior Civil Judge, Kothagudem, Khammam District.
2. One CC to SRI VIJAY B PAROPAKARI Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

HIGH COURT

DATED:08/09/2025

ORDER

CRP.No.5319 of 2018



**DISMISSING THE CIVIL REVISION
PETITION FOR NON-PROSECUTION**

⑥
30/12/25
D.K.