

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.14268 of 2025

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the petitioner-accused in FIR No.86 of 2025 of Vatpally Police Station, Sangareddy District, registered for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (for short 'BNS').

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 10.10.2025, the *de facto* complainant lodged a report with the police stating that the petitioner had been acquainted with her for the past 13 years and, under the guise of marriage, taken her to Borabanda and had sexually exploited her. On the next day, he left her at her maternal uncle's house in Vatpally village. When the elders called the petitioner and inquired about the incident, he stated that he would not marry the *de facto* complainant. Hence, she requested to take necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri Mummaneni Srinivasa Rao, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri Arun Kumar Doddla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no offence has been committed by the petitioner. Even according to the prosecution, the petitioner had already married the victim on 03.10.2025, and the complaint lodged by the *de-facto* complainant is false and that the petitioner left the victim at her residence, and he has been in judicial custody since 14.10.2025 and the material part of the investigation has already been completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant regular bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, contending that the allegations against the petitioner are of a serious nature and that the investigation is still in progress. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner and the *de-facto* complainant were

already married on 03.10.2025 in the presence of the relatives of the accused, as stated in the remand report. Thereafter, the petitioner left the *de-facto* complainant at her residence. In view of the said marriage, the allegations of inducement or promise do not appear to be sustainable. The petitioner has been in judicial custody since 14.10.2025, and the substantial part of the investigation has already been completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner/accused, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner/accused shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate, Andole, Jogipet, Sangareddy District.
- ii. The petitioner/accused shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner/accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 11.11.2025
SS/SRK

K. SUJANA, J

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.14268 of 2025

Date: 11.11.2025

SS/SRK