

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 10379 OF 2022

Between:

1. Sooraj Gaddam, S/o. Neeraj, aged about 39 years, Occ.Pvt. Employee, R/o. 1015 W Mulberry Dr. Chandler, AZ 85286, USA
2. N. Rajshree, W/o. Neeraj aged about 64 years, Occ.Household, R/o. 2-1-209, Old Ramalayam Street, Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad

...Petitioner/Accused No.1 & 2

AND

1. The State of Telangana, through S.H.O., W.P.S., D.D., Hyderabad rep.by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad.
2. Radhika. B., W/o. Sooraj Gaddam aged about 39 years, Occ.Software Employee R/o. flat No. 102, Maphars Milok Apartment, Sy No. 37/1, Upperpally, Attapur, Hyderabad

...Respondent/De facto Complainant

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in CC No. 4646 of 2022 on the file of XIII Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad and pass such other order or orders as this court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2022

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in CC No. 4646 of 2022 on the file of XIII Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, Hyderabad, pending disposal of the Criminal Petition.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri N RAVI PRASAD, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Public Prosecutor (TG) on behalf of the Respondent No. 1 and of Sri MAK Mukheed, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V.VENUGOPAL**CRIMINAL PETITION No.10379 OF 2022****ORDER:**

This Criminal Petition is filed by the petitioners seeking to quash the proceedings against them in C.C.No.4646 of 2022 on the file of the learned XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad (for short, "the trial Court").

2. No representation on behalf of the petitioners. Heard Mr.E.Ganesh, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent No.1-State and Mr.Lakshmi Narayana, learned counsel representing Mr.M.A.K.Mukheed, learned counsel for respondent No.2. Perused the record.

3. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioners on 20.12.2022, 27.12.2022, 23.04.2024, 13.02.2025 and 20.02.2025. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, in spite of listing the matter under the caption, "for dismissal". This shows that the petitioners are not evincing any interest to prosecute the case.

4. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- V.KAVITHA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XIII Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, WPS, CCS, DD District.
3. One CC to SRI N RAVI PRASAD, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

SM

PA

HIGH COURT

DATED: 27/02/2025

ORDER

CRLP.No.10379 of 2022



**DISMISSED FOR NON-PROSECUTION
THE CRIMINAL PETITION**

PA
23/4/25
(8)