

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

TUESDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF NOVEMBER

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 13724 OF 2025

Between:

1. Maisi Sudhakar,, S/o. Kanakaiah, Aged about 38 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o. Vallabnagar, Narsampet Municipality and Mandal, Warangal District, Telangana
2. Bongari Raju,, S/o. Sambaiah, Aged about 30 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o. Vallabnagar, Narsampet Municipality and Mandal, Warangal District, Telangana
3. Orsu Ramesh,, S/o. Venkanna, Aged about 32 years, Occ Agriculture, R/o. Vallabnagar, Narsampet Municipality and Mandal, Warangal District, Telangana
4. Orsu Raju,, S/o. Iddaiah, Aged about 35 Years, Occ Agriculture, R/o. Vallabnagar, Narsampet Municipality and Mandal, Warangal District, Telangana

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED 2 TO 5

AND

The State of Telangana, rep by its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana At Hyderabad

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the Petitioners/Accused No. 2 to 5 herein on Anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.359 of 2025 of Narsampet Police station, Warangal District for the offences punishable U/sec. 329(4), 118(1), 296(b), 351(2), r/w 3(5) BNS.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri VEERA BABU GANDU ,Advocate for the Petitioner and the Additional Public Prosecutor (TG) on behalf of the Sole Respondent .

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.13724 OF 2025

ORDER :

This criminal petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the petitioners/A.2 to A.5 seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.359 of 2025 of Narsampet Police Station, Warangal District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 329 (4), 118(1), 296(b), 351(2) r/w.3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS').

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant lodged a report with the police on 18.09.2025, stating that he owned a house on Vallabh Nagar Main Road and doing business by renting iron boxes. A.1 had purchased the house belonging to the complainant's elder brother and was living there with his second wife. Allegedly, with the intention of occupying the complainant's house, A.1 accused the complainant's younger son of knocking on their door at night, abusing, and threatening them. Based on this, A.1 to A.5 allegedly trespassed into the complainant's house, verbally

abused his family in filthy language, and assaulted them using iron rods. The complainant sustained injuries to his left ear, chest, and back; his wife suffered injuries to her forehead, shoulder, and upper body; and his sons were also injured. The complainant requested the police to take appropriate action against all the accused. Based on the complaint, the police registered a case against the accused.

3. Heard Sri Veera Babu Gandu, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that there are no specific allegations or overt acts attributed to the petitioners herein. They claimed to be falsely implicated and pointed out that the alleged offences are punishable with imprisonment of less than seven years. They also submitted that the investigating officer had not issued a notice under Section 35(3) of BNSS. Therefore, they requested the Court to grant bail by allowing this criminal petition.



5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail on the ground that the complainant sustained grievous injuries, as such, section of law was altered from Sections 329(4), 118(1), 296(b), 351(2) r/w.3(5) to Sections 118(2), 329(4), 296(b), 351(2) r/w.3(5) of BNS which is punishable with more than seven years and there are specific allegations against these petitioners. Against A.2 another crime is registered and against A.5 two other crimes are registered for the same allegations. If petitioners are granted bail, they may be involved in similar crimes. As such, requested this Court not to grant bail to the petitioners and to dismiss this petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the counsel and the material placed on record, there are four injured persons. One of them has sustained grievous injuries and the other three sustained simple injuries. There are no changed circumstances from the earlier bail application to the present bail application. As there are clear allegations against these petitioners in the complaint and further A.2 and A.5 are also having past crime history this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

SD/- C. DEEPIKA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Judicial First Class Magistrate at Narsampet.
2. The Station House Officer, Narsampet Police Station, Warangal District.
3. One CC to SRI. VEERA BABU GANDU Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to the ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad. [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies


TL/SA

HIGH COURT

DATED:04/11/2025

ORDER

CRLP.No.13724 of 2025



DISMISISNG THE CRIMINAL PETITION.

8
Asu
11/14/25