

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.13512 & 13551 OF 2025

23.12.2025

Crl.P.No.13512 of 2025

Between :

Khaled

... Petitioner/victim

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep., by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad & another

... Respondents

Crl.P.No.13551 of 2025

Between :

Khaled

... Petitioner/victim

And

Mohammed Mujeebuddin & another

... Respondents

:COMMON ORDER:

These criminal petitions are filed under Section 483(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the petitioner/victim seeking cancellation of regular bail granted to

Accused No.1 in CrI.M.P.No.1994 of 2025 by the Principal Sessions Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar in Cr.No.628 of 2025 of Rajendra Nagar Police Station and the regular bail granted to A.2 in CrI.P.No.10719 of 2025 in Cr.No.628 of 2025 of Rajendra Nagar Police Station registered for the offences under Sections 332, 103(1), 303(2), 61(2) r/w.3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS').

2. Heard Sri Mohd. Muzaferullah Khan, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri C.Sharan Reddy, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/A.1 in CrI.P.No.13512 of 2025 and Sri T.Srinivasu, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1/A.2 in CrI.P.No.13551 of 2025.

3. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner herein is that Accused Nos.1 and 2 have committed double murder of parents of the petitioner herein who are old aged senior citizens in a pre-planned manner. The trial Court without taking into consideration the seriousness of offence and brutality of the crime, granted regular bail to A.1 on a simple ground that investigation is completed and charge sheet is filed and A.2 was granted bail by this Court in CrI.P.No.10719 of 2025. The petitioner herein is the elder son of deceased persons and he is

residing in United Kingdom since six years and on receiving information about the murder of his parents he came to India and he was cited as a witness in memo of evidence in the charge sheet. Later he came to know that charge sheet which was filed was returned by the committal Court and as of now, there is no charge sheet and the police have not investigated the case properly which lead to return of charge sheet by the committal Court. In a double murder case, the trial Court granted bail casually. However, learned counsel submitted that he is not pressing the petition for cancellation of bail for A.2 as he was accompanying A.1 only for recovery of money. As such, requested this Court to cancel the bail granted to A.1.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for A.1 would submit that though it is a double murder case, there is no intention to commit murder of the deceased by A.1 and in a spur of moment, it was committed by him. The trial Court considered that the investigation is completed and though charge sheet is filed it is not yet numbered and that A.1 was in jail for more than 90 days, he was granted bail. He further contended that there are no allegations against A.1 that he has violated the conditions of bail imposed by the trial Court and

that he is attending before the investigating officer as directed.
Hence, prayed this Court to dismiss CrI.P.No.13512 of 2025.

5. Considering the submissions made by the respective counsel and the material on record, the learned counsel for petitioner submitted that petitioner is not pressing the petition against A.2, whereas, with regard to A.1 he had committed brutal murder of two persons who are old aged. In the first bail application vide CrI.M.P.No.1742 of 2025 the trial court dismissed the bail petition observing that it is brutal murder case, whereas in the second bail application it was observed that A.2 was already granted bail by this Court and investigation is completed and without considering the severity of allegations, bail was granted to A.1. As it is a double murder case, mere completion of investigation is not a ground to grant bail. As such, the order of trial Court in CrI.M.P.No.1994 of 2025 dated 19.09.2025 is liable to be set aside.

6. Accordingly, CrI.P.No.13512 of 2025 is allowed and the respondent No.2/A.1 is directed to surrender before the trial Court within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. However, he can file bail application at appropriate

time. However Crl.P.No.13551 of 2025 is dismissed as not pressed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 23.12.2025

Rds

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.13512 & 13551 OF 2025

Date: 23.12.2025

Rds