

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**SATURDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 13010 OF 2025

Between:

Sopan Kamble @ Sopan Ramu, S/o.Ramu, Age.67 years, Occ.Retd Railway employee R/o. H.No. 3-5-147, Tatiguda, Adilabad District. Presently Residing at R/o.H.No.5-45/308 KRK Colony, Mavala, Adilabad

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.1

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep.by Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the petitioner/Accused No. 1 on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in Crime No. 469 of 2025 registered at Police Station Mavala, Adilabad District, subject to such conditions as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit to impose

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri RANGAM VISHAL, Advocate for the Petitioner and D. ARUN KUMAR Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the sole Respondent

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.13010 of 2025

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.469 of 2025 before the Mavala Police Station, Adilabad District.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 08.09.2025 at about 20:15 hours, a complaint was lodged by one Thakur Kaushik Sai Singh, Advocate, alleging that while he was discharging his duties as Advocate Commissioner in C.C.No.182 of 2022 to execute a non-bailable warrant against one Sopan Kamble, the said accused and his wife, Varsha Kamble, attacked him and his colleague with a deadly weapon, causing injuries. It was further alleged that the complainant and his colleague sustained injuries while defending themselves and that the accused obstructed them from performing their lawful duties.

3. Heard Sri Ragam Vishal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was falsely implicated in the case without any enquiry or credible evidence and that the FIR was registered mechanically on the basis of a fabricated and self-drafted complaint by the de facto complainant, who is himself a practicing advocate. He further submitted that there were no specific allegations or medical evidence to substantiate the alleged assault, and the petitioner, being an innocent daily wage worker with no criminal antecedents, was being harassed through false accusations. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the bail stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature. He further submitted that the investigation was not yet completed. At this stage, granting of

pre-arrest bail to the petitioner does not arise. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the allegations against the petitioner are grave in nature, involving an alleged assault on an Advocate Commissioner and his colleague while they were discharging their official duties pursuant to Court orders. The investigation is still at initial stage and the role of the petitioner is yet to be thoroughly ascertained. Considering the seriousness of the allegations, the stage of investigation, and the nature of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, this criminal petition is dismissed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

**SD/- T.SRINIVASAREDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Judicial First Class Magistrate(PCR) at Adilabad, Adilabad District
2. The Station House Officer, Mavala Police Station, Mavala, Adilabad District

3. One CC to SRI RANGAM VISHAL Advocate [OPUC]

4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]

5. **Two CD Copies**

VM/PSL

PS

HIGH COURT

DATED: 25/10/2025

ORDER
CRLP.No.13010 of 2025



DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

8 copies
by
18/11/25