IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NOS: 2422 OF 2022 AND 163 OF 2023

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2422 OF 2022

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, aggrieved by the order passed by the Order dated: 12.11.2021 passed in IA Nos. 50 of 2021 in OS No. 31 of 2015 on the file of the Court of the III Additional District and Sessions Judge, Asifabad.

Between:

1. Surakanti Swaroopa, D/o late Ramesh Reddy, aged 48 years, Occ: Housewife, R/o H.No, 21-303/5-7, Kailasgiri Colony, Bhagawanthamwada, Mancherial- 504208
2. Surakanti Malavika, D/o late Ramesh Reddy, aged 31 years, Occ: Software Engineer, presently residing at R/O 84 rue Victor Hugo, Bois-colombes, Paris-92279 France.
3. Surakanti Vikas Reddy, S/o late Ramesh Reddy, aged 29 years, presently residing at Door Number 212, Kingsley Road, Hounslow, TW3 4AR, London, United Kingdom.

(Petitioners 2 and 3 are represented by their G.P.A. holder, Petitioner No.1 herein (Mother of the Petitioners 2 and 3)

(In the IA, the Respondent No.1/ Plaintiff wrongly mentioned the as residence of Petitioners as Rapally village, Hazipur Mandal, District Mancherial)

...PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3/DEFENDANTS 1 TO 3

AND

1. Surakanti Bhaskar Reddy, S/o late Malla Reddy, aged 51 years, Occ: Government Employee, R/o H.No. 4-173/3, Flat No.108, Saiteja Apartment. JB Nagar, Mancherial Town and District.

RESPONDENT/PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF

2. Surakanti Vajramma, W/o late Malla Reddy, aged 71 years, Occ: Housewife, R/o H.No. 5-251. Indira Nagar, Mancherial Town and Dist.

...RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT No.4/Defendant No.4

IA NO: 2 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant Stay of all further proceedings in O.S.No. 31 of 2015 pending on the file of I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Mancherial pending disposal of the above CRP.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri. V Rohith

Counsel for the Respondent No.1:Sri. CH Koteswara Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: ---

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 163 OF 2023

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India aggrieved by the order dated 12-11- 2021 passed in IA No. 51 of 2021 in OS No 31 of 2015 on the file of the Court of the III Additional District and Sessions Judge Asifabad.

Between:

1. Surakanti Swaroopa, D/o late Ramesh Reddy, aged 48 years, Occ: Housewife, R/o H.No. 21-303/5-7, Kailasgiri Colony, Bhagawanthamwada, Mancherial- 504208.
2. Surakanti Malavika, D/o late Ramesh Reddy, aged 31 years, Occ: Software Engineer, presently residing at R/o 84 rue Victor Hugo, Bois-colombes, Paris-92279 France.
3. Surakanti Vikas Reddy, S/o late Ramesh Reddy, aged 29 years, presently residing at Door Number 212, Kingsley Road, Hounslow, TW3 4AR, London, United Kingdom.

(Petitioners 2 and 3 are represented by their G.P.A. holder, Petitioner No.1 herein (Mother of the Petitioners 2 and 3).

(In the IA, the Respondent No.1/Plaintiff wrongly mentioned the as residence of Petitioners as Rapally village, Hazipur Mandal, District Mancherial)

...PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 1 to 3/DEFENDANTS1 TO 3

AND

1. Surakanti Bhaskar Reddy, S/o late Malla Reddy, aged 51 years, Occ: Government Employee, R/o H.No. 4-173/3, Flat No.108, Saiteja Apartment, JB Nagar, Mancherial Town and District.

RESPONDENT/PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF

2. Surakanti Vajramma, W/o late Malla Reddy, aged 71 years Occ: Housewife, R/o H.No. 5-251, Indira Nagar, Mancherial Town and Dist.
(Respondent No. 2 is not necessary)

...RESPONDENT/ RESPONDENT No.1 DEFENDANT No.4

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Order 3 Rule 21 read with 151 CPC., praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the Petitioner No.1/ Petitioner No.1 to represent on behalf of the Petitioners 2 and 3/ Petitioners 2 and 3 herein in the capacity of their Attorney holder.

IA NO: 2 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant Stay of all further proceedings in OS No. OS No. 31 of 2015 pending on the file of I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Mancherial pending disposal of the above CRP.

Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri. V Rohith

Counsel for the Respondents: ---

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE B.R. MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NOS.2422 OF 2022 AND 163 OF 2023

DATED THE 16TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2025

Between:

CRP No.2422 of 2022

Surakanti Swaroopa and others

... Petitioners

And

Surakanti Bhaskar Reddy and another

... Respondents

CRP No.163 of 2023

Surakanti Swaroopa and others

... Petitioners

And

Surakanti Bhaskar Reddy and another

... Respondents

COMMON ORDER:

1. These matters are listed for the first time before this Bench.

2. These Civil Revision Petitions are filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India assailing the common order dated 12.11.2021 passed in I.A.Nos.50 and 51 of 2021 in O.S.No.31 of 2015 by the learned III Addl. District and Sessions Judge, Asifabad.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in both the CRPs submits that I.A.No.50 of 2021 is filed under Section 5 of Limitation

Act, to condone the delay in filing the application, to set aside the dismissed for default order dated 21.10.2016 passed in O.S.No.31 of 2015 and I.A.No.51 of 2021 is filed under Order IX Rule 4 r/w. Section 151 of CPC to restore O.S.No.31 of 2015, which was dismissed for default on 21.10.2016. Both the applications are allowed. Aggrieved by the same, the present CRPs are filed.

4. Respondent No.1 is represented by counsel.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in both the CRPs submits that after the suit is restored, it was again dismissed for default on 26.11.2024. In view of the same, he made an endorsement on both the CRP's stating that in case if the suit is restored on the application filed by the respondents he may be permitted to revive the CRPs.

6. In view of the endorsement made by learned counsel for the petitioners, these Civil Revision Petitions are disposed of. The petitioners are permitted to revive the CRP as and when suit in O.S.No.31 of 2015 is restored. There shall be no order as to costs.

Interim order/s if any shall stand vacated. Miscellaneous petition/s shall stand closed.

SD/- V. HARI PRASAD
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER


To

1. The III Additional District and Sessions Judge at Asifabad.
2. The I Additional District and Sessions Judge at Mancherial
3. One CC to SRI. V Rohith, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI. CH Koteswara Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

pr/Sa

HIGH COURT

DATED: 16/12/2025



COMMON ORDER

CRP.Nos.2422 OF 2022
AND
163 OF 2023

DISPOSING OF THESE CRP'S

FMT
31/12/25