IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION NO: 30265 OF 2025

Between:

MVV Hari Prasad, S/o M Satyanarayana, Aged about 52 years, Occupation: Business R/o H.No.8-6-134/3/11 FCI Colony Phase II Vanasthalipuram Hyderabad Rep.by his GPA holders Smt Anam Madhavi W/o Anam Pattabhi Reddy, Aged about 55 years, Occupation: Business, R/o H.No 8-382 Allwyn Colony Miyapur Hyderabad 500 049, Smt Gade Prasanna W/o Gade Basivi Reddy, Aged about 41 years, Occupation: House Hold R/o H.No.3-12-117/10 Flat No.303 Uppal PS Colony Amberpet Hyderabad, Kari Ashok Reddy S/o Kari Venkat Reddy, Aged about 36 years, Occupation: Business, R/o 3-55/2 Mogalai Kota Ananthagiri Mandal Kodad Nalgonda 508206, Bezawada Anusha W/o Sreenivasa Reddy, Aged about 35 years, Occup: House Hold R/o H.No.1-23/A Ramachandrapuram Nelakondapally Mandal Khammam 507160.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department. Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Kongarakalan, Ibrahimpatnam, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajendra Nagar, Ranga Reddy District.
4. The Tahsildar, Shamshabad, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the.' nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 4th respondent in interfering with peaceful possession and enjoyment of petitioners lands i.e. an extent Ac.01-00 extent of 00-20 Guntas, extent of 00-10 Guntas, extent of 00-10

Guntas totally admeasuring Ac.02-00 out of Ac. 03-00, in Survey No.51/8 and 52/8, situated at Bahadurguda Village & Gram Panchayath Shamshabad Mandal Ranga Reddy District, without following due process of law as being illegal, arbitrary and against to principles of natural justice and Art. 300A of the Constitution of India, 1950 and consequently direct the 4th respondent not to interfere with peaceful possession and enjoyment of petitioners lands without following due process of law.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim directions to the 4th respondent not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioners lands i.e. an extent Ac.01-00 extent of 00-20 Guntas, extent of 00-10 Guntas, extent of 00-10 Guntas totally admeasuring Ac.02-00 out of Ac. 03-00, in Survey No.51/8 & 5218, situated at Bahadurguda Village and Gram Panchayath Shamshabad Mandal Ranga Reddy District, pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI SHASHIDHAR GURUVULA

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI L. RAVINDER, AGP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE E.V.VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION No.30265 of 2025

DATE OF ORDER: 11.12.2025

Between:

Sri L.M.V.V.Hari Prasad and others

...Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana, rep. by its
Principal Secretary, Revenue Department
Secretariat, Hyderabad, and others

...Respondents

ORDER :

This Writ Petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed seeking the following relief:

> *"...pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the. nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 4th respondent in interfering with peaceful possession and enjoyment of petitioners lands i.e. an extent Ac.01-00 extent of 00-20 Guntas, extent of Ac.00-10 Guntas, extent of 00-10*

Guntas totally admeasuring Ac.02-00 out of Ac.03-00, in Survey No.51/8 and 52/8, situated at Bahadurguda Village and Gram Panchayath, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without following due process of law as being illegal, arbitrary and against to principles of natural justice and Art.300A of the Constitution of India, 1950 and consequently direct the 4th respondent not to interfere with peaceful possession and enjoyment of petitioners lands without following due process of law and to pass..."

2. Heard Sri Shashidhar Guruvula, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri L.Ravinder, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for the respondents and perused the record.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner had purchased the land to an extent of Ac.1.00 guntas in Sy.No.51/8 and 52/8, Ac.0.20 guntas, Ac.0.10 guntas, Ac.0.10 guntas out of Acs.3.00 guntas, total admeasuring Ac.2.00guntas, situated at Bahadurguda Village and Gram Panchayath Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, having acquired the same from their predecessor through a registered sale deed bearing document No.8991 of 2007, dated 26.12.2007.

Originally the subject lands belongs to one Smt.Lateefunnisa Begum and upon her demise, her successors have filed O.S.No.4788 of 2006 on the file of the learned VIII Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad seeking succession. Accordingly, the said Suit was decreed in their favour on 10.11.2006. As such, they were declared as true successors of Smt.Lateefunnisa Begum. Thereupon, the said successors have executed registered GPA in favour of third parties, from whom the subject land was purchased through registered sale deed.

4. While the things stood, the Tahsildar, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District *vide* Lr.No.A1/1965/2000, dated 08.02.2006 addressed a letter to the Assistant Director S and LRS Ranga Reddy District stating that as per the endorsement of the Collector Ranga Reddy District dated 26.12.2005 he is enclosing the list of occupants in the private lands of Bahdurguda Village and requested to prepare and issue pattedar passbook/title deed to the Ryot for the patta lands and also requested to update the pahani

as per the list enclosed and the same was also communicated to the District Collector Ranga Reddy District and the Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division. Thereafter, respondent No.4 *vide* L.No.B/1604/2017, dated 23.09.2017 has written to Sub-Registrar, Shamshabad directing respondent No.2 for cancellation of certain registered sale deeds. Basing on the said letter, Sub-Registrar, Shamshabad has executed cancellation deeds stating that the land in Sy.Nos.28 and 62 were belonging to government only and the same is nothing to do with the petitioners lands. The said act was done without any authority, and behind the back and without any right or title in favour of the Government.

5. In the counter filed by respondent No.4 in W.P.No.36478 of 2017, it is stated that, it is true that Smt.Lateefunnisa Begum was pattedar of said land and other lands. The lands to an extent of Acs.650.00 guntas in Sy.Nos.28 and 62 belongs to government as same are ceiling surplus lands. Later, on 20.09.2025, the officials of

respondent No.4 visited the subject property stating that the subject lands belong to government. Upon knowing the said fact, the petitioners approached the office of respondent No.4 and stated that only land in Sy.Nos.28 and 62 are classified as government land and the subject land is a private land and requested not to interfere and dispossess the petitioners from the subject property. But the respondent-authorities are taking steps to dispossess the petitioners from the subject land. The action of the respondent-authorities is highly arbitrary, illegal and violative of principles of natural justice. Hence, the present writ petition.

6. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue would submit that without following due procedure laid down under law, the respondent authorities will not take any action. Hence, seeks to pass appropriate orders.

7. In the light of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and upon perusing the material available on record, this Writ Petition is disposed of, directing the respondent-authorities not to interfere with the peaceful possession of

petitioners from the subject property, without following due procedure laid down under law. If the petitioners are found in illegal possession or otherwise, take appropriate action by following the due procedure, strictly in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending, shall stand dismissed.

SD/- P. PONNA KRISHNA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Kongarakalan, Ibrahimpatnam, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajendra Nagar, Ranga Reddy District.
4. The Tahsildar, Shamshabad, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
5. One CC to SRI SHASHIDHAR GURUVULA, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

MP/BSK

HIGH COURT

DATED:11/12/2025



ORDER

WP.No.30265 of 2025

DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION WITHOUT COSTS

(10) JKS
17/2/26.