

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.12786 of 2025

ORDER:

Seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner, who arrayed as Accused No.2 in Crime No.132 of 2025 of Kachiguda (GRP-Secunderabad) Police Station, GRP-Secunderabad, on bail, the present petition is filed.

2 . The brief facts of the case are that, the *de-facto* complainant, who is the father of the deceased, lodged a report stating that his son had been missing since 02.08.2025. He further alleged that on the same day, at 07:45 hours, Accused No.1, who is the brother-in-law of the deceased took the deceased to Platform No.1 at Malakpet Railway Station, where a quarrel ensued. During the altercation, Accused No.1 allegedly pushed the deceased in front of a moving train with the intention to kill him. As a result, the deceased sustained severe injuries and died on the spot. Based on the investigation and review of CCTV footage from Malakpet Railway Station, the presence of Accused Nos.1 and 2 at the scene was confirmed. According to the remand case diary, it was Accused No.1 who pushed the deceased onto the tracks in front of the running

train. Consequently, a case was registered as Crime No.132 of 2025 for offences punishable under Section 103 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

3. Heard Sri K. Satish Chakravarthy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as Sri E. Ganesh, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the role of the petitioner in the alleged incident is limited and that there was no intention on his part to cause the death of the deceased and that the petitioner has no connection with the deceased and that the disputes were primarily between the deceased and her husband. He further submitted that accused No.1, who is the brother of the deceased, was allegedly involved in the incident, and the sole allegation against the petitioner was that he accompanied Accused No.1 to the railway station and that the petitioner was arrested on 07.08.2025 and has remained in judicial custody since then and a substantial portion of the investigation has already been completed. Therefore, prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, contending that the allegations against the petitioner are grave in nature, and there is clear evidence on record indicating that Accused Nos.1 and 2 took the deceased from his house to the railway station on the date of the incident and the investigation is still ongoing and that it cannot be conclusively determined at this stage that only Accused No.1 was responsible for pushing the deceased and prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon a perusal of the material available on record, it is evident that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 07.08.2025. As noted in the remand case diary, the petitioner is alleged to have accompanied Accused No.1 to the railway station, where Accused No.1 purportedly pushed the deceased in front of an oncoming train. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, including the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, and the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned II Judicial Magistrate of First Class for Railways at Secunderabad.
 - ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
 - iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).
7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA,J

Date: 07.10.2025
SS

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No. 12786 of 2025

Date: 07.10.2025

SS