

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 19474 OF 2010

Between:

M/s.Vinayak Steels Ltd, 97/E.J.P Dharga Road, Kothur,Shadnagar(M)
Mahaboobnagar District,rep.by its Vice President B.K Mandelia

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Central Power Distribution, A.P Limited Rep.by its Managing Director,
Mint Compound, Hyderabad,
2. The Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle,Central Power Distribution
Company of A.P Limited, Mahabubnagar District, Mahabubnagar
3. The Senior Accounts Officer, Operation Circle,Central Power Distribution
Company of A.P, Limited,Mahaboobnagar District Mahaboobnagar
4. A.P. Electricity Regulatory Commission, Rep. by its Secretary,11-4-660,4th
Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the letter No.APERC/E205/DD.Dist/2009 dated 22-12-2009 of the 4th respondent and consequential letter No.SE/O/MBNR/SAO/HT/D.No.222/10 dated 16-6-2010 of the 2nd respondent as highly illegal, arbitrary, irrational, unjust, without jurisdiction and contrary to Section 56(2) of the Electricity act,2003

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2010(WPMP. NO: 24665 OF 2010)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased suspend the operation of the Letter No.letter No.APERC/E205/DD.Dist/2009 dated 22-12-2009 of the 4th respondent and consequential letter No.SE/O/MBNR/SAO/HT/D.No.222/10 dated 16-6-2010 of the 2nd respondent pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI D.V NAGARJUNA BABY, SENIOR COUNSEL
REP., FOR Smt. K JAYASREE, ADVOCATE

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: SRI N SREEDHAR REDDY (SC FOR
TGSPDCL)

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: SRI P. PRASAD SC FOR TGERC

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

WRIT PETITION No.19474 OF 2010

ORDER:

Heard Sri D.V.Nagarjuna Babu, learned senior designated counsel representing Smt. K. Jayasree, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner on record, Sri N.Sreedhar Reddy, learned standing counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Sri P.Prasad, learned standing counsel for TGERC appearing on behalf of the respondent No.4

2. The petitioner approached the Court seeking prayer as under:

".....to issue a writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the letter No.APERC/E205/DD.Dist/2009, dated 22-12-2009 of the 4th respondent and consequential letter No.SE/O/MBNR/SAO/HT/D.No.222/10, dated 16.06.2010 of the 2nd respondent as highly illegal, arbitrary, irrational, unjust without jurisdiction and contrary to Section 56(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and pass....."

3. It is represented by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, learned standing counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and

learned standing counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.4 that the present Writ Petition could be disposed of directing the petitioner to make a detailed representation putting-forth the grievance as put-forth in present Writ Petition before the 4th respondent and the 4th respondent shall consider the same in accordance to law by giving an opportunity of personal hearing both to the petitioner and also to the concerned DISCOM authorities within a period of (04) weeks thereafter and pass appropriate orders on the said detailed representation to be submitted on behalf of the petitioner to the 4th respondent herein.

4. Taking into consideration the submissions made by all the learned counsel on record and upon consent, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the petitioner to make a detailed representation putting-forth the grievance as put-forth in present Writ Petition before the 4th respondent within a period of two (02) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order and the 4th respondent shall consider the same in accordance to law by giving an opportunity of personal hearing both

to the petitioner and also to the concerned DISCOM authorities within a period of (04) weeks thereafter and pass appropriate orders on the said detailed representation to be submitted on behalf of the petitioner to the 4th respondent.

5. With these above observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the Writ Petition shall also stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- A. SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Managing Director, Central Power Distribution, A.P Limited Mint Compound, Hyderabad,
2. The Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, Central Power Distribution Company of A.P Limited, Mahabubnagar District, Mahabubnagar
3. The Senior Accounts Officer, Operation Circle, Central Power Distribution Company of Limited, Mahabubnagar Mahabubnagar Dist
4. A.P. Electricity Regulatory Commission, Rep. by its Secretary, 11-4-660, 4th Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad.
5. One CC to Smt. K JAYASREE Advocate [OPUC]
6. One CC to SRI. N SREEDHAR REDDY (SC FOR TGSPDCL) [OPUC]
7. One CC to SRI P. PRASAD SC FOR TGERC [OPUC]
8. Two CD Copies

KKS
GJP



HIGH COURT

DATED:05/02/2025

ORDER

WP.No.19474 of 2010



DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS

10
[Signature]
08/11/2025