

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUDDALA CHALAPATHI RAO

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 673 OF 2014

Contempt case filed under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act to punish the respondents herein for willful, disobedience of the orders of the High Court dated 27.01.2014 passed in WP No.25875 of 2013.

Between:

Khaja Moizuddin, S/o.Late Mohd Hafeez. Aged 50 years, occ Advocate,
R/o.H.no.4-2-144/1, Rajampet, Sangareddy, Municipality, Medak District.

...Petitioner

AND

Sri Sailoo, Municipal commissioner, Sanga Reddy Municipality, Medak
District.

...Respondent

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under order 1 Rule 10 R/w. 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to implead Sri P.V.V.D.Prasada Rao, Commissioner, Sanga Reddy Municipality, Medak District as respondent No. 2 in CC.No.673 of 2014 in WP.No.25875 of 2013.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI P.RAGHAVENDRA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent: SRI N PRAVEEN KUMAR(SC FOR
MC, TELANGANA)

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUDDALA CHALAPATHI RAO

DATE: 24.12.2025

CONTEMPT CASE NO.673 OF 2014

Between:

Khaja Moizuddin

...Petitioner

And

Sri Sailoo, and another.

...Respondents

ORDER

This Contempt Case is filed for willful disobedience of the interim order passed by this Court in W.P.No.25875 of 2013, dated 27.01.2014.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing Ms.Sharvani Gudipati, learned counsel representing Sri Krishna Reddy Putta, learned Standing Counsel for Sangareddy Municipality, on instructions, would submit that the officials of respondent would construct the drain culvert in front of house of the petitioner bearing House No.4-2-144/1, Rajampet of Sangareddy, Medak District and clear the drainage which is stagnated due to damage of the culvert, as expeditiously as possible.

3. As the main Writ Petition is disposed of today by a separate order, recording the said submission, the Contempt Case

is closed. However liberty is given to the petitioner to approach this Court in the event the cause of action still survives pursuant to the interim order passed by this Court.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

SD/- N. SRIHARI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI P.RAGHAVENDRA REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CC to SRI N. PRAVEEN KUMAR (SC FOR MC, TELANGANA) Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

NVB/PSL




HIGH COURT

DATED:24/12/2025

ORDER

CC.No.673 of 2014



CLOSING THE CONTEMPT CASE

⑥
18/02/26
D.K.