

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.12137 of 2025

Seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.2 in FIR.No.25 of 2025 of EOW Police Station, Cyberabad Police Commissionerate, on bail, the present Criminal Petition is filed.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, 08-02-2025, the de-facto Complainant and her three sisters and one brother Kesava Rao lodged a report before the Police stating that one Mr. Vakati Sudhakar is the owner of agricultural land admeasuring Ac.05-00 Gts., in SY.No. 402, 403, 422/1, Lalsahabeguda, Gajularamaram having purchased the same under Registered Sale Deed in Doc. No. 1495 of 2005. It is further the case of the De-facto Complainant that the said Vakati Sudhakar is her brother-in-law and he is not having children as such during his lifetime he executed will deed in their favour over all the properties belong to him. The de-facto Complainant noticed some transactions over the said properties as such she filed the complaint before the

Police. Based on the said complaint, the Police registered FIR No.25 of 2025 for the alleged offences under Sections 318 (4), 319, 319 (2), 336, 338 and 340 (2) of BNS.

3. Heard Sri Jakkula Sridhar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri Arun Kumar Doddla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the alleged offences and there are several disputes between the owner and the legal heirs of the petitioner herein and a writ petition is filed by the one Shalini, who is also a relative of the petitioner herein and in the said writ petition, this Court observed that the parties has to approach the competent civil court for to establish their rights in respect of the said property. It is further submitted a suit is already filed before the competent civil court and is pending. It is further submitted that the de-facto complainant and her sisters and brother converted the entire civil litigation into criminal case. It is further submitted that the

petitioner herein is in jail from 11.09.2025 and whatever, the documents are forged were already filed and that can be examined and further, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner herein was impersonated for the same. Further, the investigation is not yet completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail from 11.09.2025 and the allegations against the petitioner herein are that he impersonated and fabricated and forged the documents and there are several disputes

pending between the parties and a civil suit is also pending between the parties. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, and the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions.

- i. The petitioner/accused No.2 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal Junior Civil Judge-Cum-Metropolitan Magistrate, at L.B. Nagar.
- ii. The petitioner/accused No.2 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of

investigation, and thereafter, as
and when required.

- iii. The petitioner/ accused No.2 shall
abide by the conditions stipulated
in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.
(presently, Section 480(3) of the
BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall
stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 24.09.2025
TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.12137 of 2025

Date:24.09.2025

TU