

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUDDALA CHALAPATHI RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 1236 OF 2011

Between:

M/s.Radha Krishna Automobiles Pvt Ltd, Rep.by its Chief General Manager Capt. Mukul Sharma S/o. Col. Jagadish Chandra Sharma, D.No 7-2-A5, Main Road, Sanathnagar Hyderabad

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of A.P Pri. Rep. by Pri. Secretary, Department of Municipal Administration, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, rep. by its Commissioner, Hyderabad
3. The Addl. Commissioner(H&S), Health and Sanitation Department, G.H.M.C Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue direction, or a writ particularly one in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the action of the Respondent No.3 imposing fines to the extent of Rs 1,09,842/- on the garbage charges of Rs 4,39,368/- and rejecting the receipt of Trade Licence fee and passing threats to seizing the business of the petitioner, without any basis, which is illegal, arbitrary, capricious and random unjust, resulting in grave miscarriage of justice and whimsical on part of the respondents which is detrimental to the fundamental rights of the petitioner guaranteed under the constitution and consequently declare the garbage charges levied against the petitioner as illegal and not binding on the petitioner.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP. NO: 1503 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased issue directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 not to take any steps to obstruct the business of the petitioner, in any manner and accept the Licence fee for the year 2010-11 pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI K. RAVIKANTH (NOT PRESENT)

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND
URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

Counsel for the Respondents No.2 & 3: S.C. FOR GHMC

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SUDDALA CHALAPATHI RAO

WRIT PETITION No.1236 of 2011

ORDER:

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 28.08.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. So as to give one more opportunity to the counsel for the petitioner, the matter was posted to 04.09.2025 under the caption "For Dismissal". In spite of the matter being listed under the caption 'for dismissal', today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not having any interest to prosecute the matter.

2. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

Sd/-AHMED ABDULLA KHAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI K. RAVIKANTH, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Municipal Administration and Urban Development, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. One CC to S.C. for GHMC [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

MP/DL

Ypr

HIGH COURT

DATED:04/09/2025

ORDER

WP.No.1236 of 2011

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS

⑦
1/ps
22/12/25