

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 20434 OF 2012

Between:

M/s EURO, Rep. by its Proprietor, Ch. Subramanyam, S/o Sekharan, residing
at 104, Alpine Towers, besides Viceroy Hotel, Kavadiguda, Hyderabad-500
080

...PETITIONER

AND

Bank of India, Rep. by its Asst. General Manager, Khairatabad Branch,
Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the Respondent in issuing a letter dt. 02-07-2012 bearing reference No.KHB-ACS-2012-13/3011/30, intimating the Petitioner that the photographs of the Petitioner and guarantor along with the loan particulars etc. will be published in the newspapers as arbitrary, illegal and without any authority of Law and consequently direct the Respondent to forbear from making such publication of the photographs of the Petitioners etc.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2012(WPMP. NO: 26204 OF 2012)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to pass interim orders directing the Respondent not to publish the photographs and also the details of defaulted loan accounts in newspapers as stated in the impugned

letter dated 0207-2012 bearing reference No. KHB:ACS:2012-13/3011/30
pending disposal of the main Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. SAI SHARATH REP SRI M. NAGA RAGHU

Counsel for the Respondent: Ms. T. VIDYA RANI

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

Writ Petition No.20434 of 2012

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for consideration, Mr.Sai Sharath, learned counsel representing Mr.M.Naga Raghu, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during pendency of the writ petition, sole petitioner died and his legal heirs are not coming forward to prosecute the matter and expressed his inability.

2. Smt.T.Vidya Rani, learned counsel for the respondent submits that petitioner's loan was already closed on 18.10.2023 and the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication.

3. In view of the above submission, the Writ Petition is closed. No costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/- L. LAKSHMI BABU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. M. NAGA RAGHU, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Ms. T. VIDYA RANI ,Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

B M
GJP

CHR

HIGH COURT

DATED:19/02/2025

ORDER

WP.No.20434 of 2012



CLOSING THE WRIT PETITION WITHOUT COSTS

⑤ CHAR
21/05/25