IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDARABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY,THE TENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 26837 OF 2025

Between:

1. Vivekavardhini Educational Society, (Regd.No. 1628/84), Premnagar, Mulugu village and Mandal, Mulugu District Rep. by its Secretary Sri Jalagam Mohan Rao, S/o Veeraiah, aged about 58 years, R/o Mulugu village and Mandal, Warangal District.
2. Vivekavardhini Gurukula Vidyalayam (High School), Premnagar, Mulugu village and Mandal, Mulugu District Rep. by its Secretary-cum-Correspondent Sri Jalagam Mohan Rao, S/o. Veeraiah, aged about 58 years, R/o Mulugu village and Mandal, Warangal District

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration (CCLA), Telangana, Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Warangal District
4. The District Educational Officer, Mulugu District.
5. The Joint Collector, Mulugu District.
6. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Mulugu, Mulugu District.
7. The Tahsildar, Mulugu Mandal, Mulugu District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus declaring the continued inaction of Respondent No.1 in not considering and disposing of the petitioner's representation dated 08.04.2013, despite the specific directions of this Hon'ble Court in W.P. No.8348 of 2013 dated 03.04.2013, for regularisation/alienation of Ac.4.03 gts of land in Sy.Nos.837/39 (Ac.1.08 gts), 837/30 (Ac.1.10 gts), 837/25

(Ac 1.05 gts), and 837/24 (Ac.0.20 gts) of Mulugu Village and Mandal, percent Warangal District, as arbitrary, illegal and unconstitutional, and consequently direct Respondent No.1 to forthwith consider and pass appropriate orders on the petitioner's representation dated 08.04.2013 for regularisation/alienation of the subject land, strictly in accordance with law and in terms of the recommendations of Respondent Nos. 3, 6 & 7, and pending such consideration, direct the Respondents to maintain status quo with respect to the petitioner's possession and enjoyment of the school premises and ensure continuance of the school without obstruction.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct Respondent No.1 to forthwith consider and pass appropriate orders on the petitioner's representation dated 08.04.2013 for regularisation/alienation of the subject land, strictly in accordance with law and in terms of the recommendations of Respondent No's.3, 6 and 7, and pending such consideration, direct the Respondents to maintain status quo with respect to the petitioner's possession and enjoyment of the school premises and ensure continuance of the school without obstruction, pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner(s):SRI. A CHANDRAVATHI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent's No's 1 TO 3 & 5 TO 7: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No. 4: GP FOR EDUCATION

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION No.26837 OF 2025

ORAL ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.L.Ravinder, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

2. Petitioners have filed a writ petition *vide* W.P.No.8348 of 2013 to declare the proceedings dated 16.01.2013 of the District Collector, Warangal, as illegal. *Vide* order dated 03.04.2013, this Court disposed of the said writ petition holding that if the petitioners are permitted to submit a representation afresh to respondent No.1 within ten days from the said date seeking allotment of the subject land, respondent No.1 shall, within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, consider the petitioners' request for allotment of land, in accordance with law, including the order of the Division Bench in W.P.Nos.1939 and 16700 of 2009, dated 24.08.2012. Till orders are passed by respondent No.1 on the petitioners' representation, *status quo* as

on that date shall be maintained. However, it is made clear that if petitioners did not submit the representation within ten days, it is open to the respondents to take action, in accordance with law. With the above observations, this Court disposed of the said writ petition.

3. It is the specific contention of the petitioners that they have submitted representation on 08.04.2013 to respondent No.1 in compliance with the said order. Despite receiving and acknowledging the said representation, respondent No.1 did not act upon the same. Aggrieved by the said inaction of respondent No.1, petitioners filed the present writ petition.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue has produced the written instructions of respondent No.7, wherein it was stated that respondent No.7 is not aware of the said representation dated 08.04.2013 submitted by the petitioners to respondent No.1 and he has not received any instructions from respondent No.1. The said written instructions are placed on record.

5. Though the aforesaid order in W.P.No.8348 of 2013 was passed on 03.04.2013 granting liberty to the petitioners to submit representation within ten days from the date of order, petitioners have submitted the representation on 08.04.2013 and there is a delay of 12 years in pursuing the representation. There is no explanation, much less the plausible explanation for the said abnormal delay. However, it is for respondent No.1 to consider the said representation and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law and also the directions issued by this Court in order dated 03.04.2013 in W.P.No.8348 of 2013.

6. In the light of the said discussion, this Writ Petition is disposed of directing respondent No.1 to consider the representation dated 08.04.2013 submitted by the petitioners and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. He shall complete the entire exercise within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. In the circumstances of the case, there shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the Writ Petition shall stand closed.

SD/-K.BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The State of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration (CCLA), Telangana, Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Warangal District
4. The District Educational Officer, Mulugu District.
5. The Joint Collector, Mulugu District.
6. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Mulugu, Mulugu District.
7. The Tahsildar, Mulugu Mandal, Mulugu District.
8. One CC to SRI. A CHANDRAVATHI REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
9. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE ,High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
10. Two CCs to GP FOR EDUCATION ,High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
11. Two CD Copies

HIGH COURT

DATED:10/09/2025

ORDER

WP.No.26837 of 2025



WRIT PETITION IS DISPOSED OF WITHOUT COST

15
ABV
20/9,