

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.11416 OF 2025

ORDER:

The present Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail, who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.865 of 2025 before Vanasthalipuram Police Station, Rachakonda Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 318(4), 318(2) of Bharathiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 26.06.2025, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report with the Police stating that she was married to V.Anil Kumar and they have two daughters. She and her husband have been living separately since 2023. Later, she became acquainted with the accused at her workplace. The accused used her physically under the guise of love and that she became pregnant. However, the accused later refused to marry the *de-facto* complainant. Therefore, she requested the Police to take necessary action

against the accused. Based on complaint, the police registered a case against the accused.

3. Heard Sri Ch. Raj Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri Arun Kumar Doddla, learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the alleged offences. He argued that there was no promise of marriage between the petitioner and *de-facto* complainant parties, and the *de-facto* complainant is not a minor. He also submitted that the victim willingly entered into a relationship with the petitioner. The petitioner has been in jail since 18.07.2025, and the material part of the investigation has already been completed. Therefore, he prayed that the Court grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, contending that the allegations against the

petitioner are grave in nature, investigation is still pending, as such prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, even according to the *de-facto* complainant, she is residing separately from her husband, and there is no mention that the petitioner forced the *de-facto* complainant. The petitioner has been in jail since 18.07.2025, and as seen from the record, material witnesses have already been examined. Taking into account the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, and the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions.

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned VII Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Hayathnagar.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 10.09.2025
fm

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.11416 of 2025

Date: 10.09.2025

Fm