**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T.MADHAVI DEVI**

**CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3159 OF 2025**

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against the Order dated 11/08/2025 passed in EP No 7/2018 in LAOP No.615/1996 on the file of the I Additional District Judge at Nizamabad.

**Between:**

The Land Acquisition Officer - Cum - Revenue Divisional Officer, Nizamabad.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. Padigala Pedda Rajanna, S/o.Rajanna (died) per. Lrs. C.No.8 and 9
2. Kammarpalli Istari, S/o.Gangaram, 49 years, Occ. Agriculture,
3. Posam Mallu Bai, W/o.Bhoomanna (died) per L.R No.7
4. Enugu Pullaiah, S/o.Mallaiah (died) per Lr.No. 6
5. Chintapur Ramulu, S/o Mallesh, Aged 61 years, Occ. Agriculture
6. Enugu Gangubai, W/o.Late. Enugu Pullaiah, Aged 71 years, Occ. Agriculture (Claimant No.6 is brought on record as L.R. of claimant No.4 as per orders in I.A.No.4196/2003, dated 10/02/2004.
7. Smt. Pasham Yamuna, W/o.Mahipal, Occ. Agriculture, (Claimant No.7 is brought on record as L.R. of Claimant No.3 as per C.O. dt. 02/02/2009 in LAASMP.No.26/09).
8. Smt. Sathemma, W/o.Padigala Pedda Rajanna, Occ. Agriculture,
9. Padigala Ravindar, S/o.Padigala Pedda Rajanna, Occ. Agriculture (Claimant No.8 and 9 are brought on record as L.R. of claimant No.1 as per C.O. dt. 25/02/2009 in LAASMP No.27/09). All are R/o. Anksapoor(V), Velpoor(M), Nizamabad.

**...RESPONDENTS**

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in E.P.No.7 of 2018 in O.P.No.615 of 1996 on the file of the First Additional District Judge, Nizamabad for warrant executed for lifting of property of LAO / JDR.

Counsel for the Petitioner: GP FOR ARBITRATION

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI T.SRUJAN KUMAR REDDY

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE T. MADHAVI DEVI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION No.3159 OF 2025

ORDER:

This Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the order dated 11.08.2025 in E.P.No.7 of 2018 in L.A.O.P.No.615 of 1996 passed by the I Additional District Judge at Nizamabad (for short, "the Trial Court"), whereby the property of the petitioner office was attached and also for lifting of the property on payment of transport charges.

2. This Court, *vide* interim order dated 04.09.2025, had stayed the execution of the order dated 11.08.2025 in E.P.No.07 of 2018 in L.A.O.P.No.615 of 1996 on the file of the I Additional District Judge at Nizamabad.

3. Learned Government Pleader for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to the calculation sheet filed by the Land Acquisition Officer in E.P.No.7 of 2018, according to which the entire amount has been paid and there are no dues from the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents has also drawn the attention of this Court to the computation filed by the decree holders, contending and that they are entitled to the amount accordingly.

5. However, upon comparison of both the computation sheets, it is noticed that there appears to be a dispute with regard to the damages calculated at the rate of 15% from the date of possession to the date of notification and also with regard to the interest payable on the awarded amounts.

6. Learned Government Pleader for the petitioner had further pointed out that payment of Rs.3,86,975/- deposited on 21.11.2019, is also not finding place in computation sheet filed by the respondents.

7. Therefore, it appears that the Trial Court has not appreciated the discrepancies between the calculation sheets filed by the decree holders and the Land Acquisition Officer. In view of the same, since it is pointed out that there are discrepancies in the calculations, this Court is inclined to set aside the order dated

11.08.2025 in E.P.No.7 of 2018 in L.A.O.P.No.615 of 1996 on the file of I Additional District Judge at Nizamabad, and remand the case back to the Trial Court and the Trial Court is directed to compare both the computations and also consider the evidence filed by both the parties in support of their calculations and thereafter, pass appropriate orders within a period of two (02) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

**8.** With the above directions, the Civil Revision Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall also stand dismissed.

**SD/-L LAKSHMI BABU**
**DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**SECTION OFFICER**

To,

1. The I Additional District Judge at Nizamabad.
2. One CC to SRI. GP FOR ARBITRATION Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. T.SRUJAN KUMAR REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

TPK/ABK

HIGH COURT

DATED:17/10/2025




ORDER

CRP.No.3159 of 2025

CIVIL REVISION PETITION IS DISPOSED OF

GNTT
9/2/26