

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE: CrI.A.No.846 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
02.	22.08.2025	<u>SKS, J</u> <u>CrI.A.No.846 of 2025</u> Admit. List on 26.09.2025. In the meantime, Registry is directed to call for record from the trial Court and prepare paper book and place before this Court. <u>I.A.No.1 of 2025</u> This Interlocutory Application is filed with a prayer to enlarge the petitioners on bail by suspending the sentence imposed <i>vide</i> judgment dated 18.08.2025 passed in Spl.S.C.No.23 of 2016 by the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under the SCs and STs (POA) Act,1989-cum-II Additional Sessions Judge, Nizamabad. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioner Nos.1 to 3 were found	

	guilty for the offences punishable under Sections 448, 427 and 506 read with 34 of IPC and under Section 3(1)(v)(x) of the SCs and STs (POA) Act, 1989 and petitioner Nos.4 to 6 were found guilty for the offences punishable under Sections 448, 427, 506 read with 34 of IPC. He further submitted that there are no offences made out to warrant the conviction of the accused, and that the alleged charges have been filed merely to settle a civil dispute and that the petitioner is having good grounds to succeed in the appeal and the final hearing of the appeal may be taken considerable time. Therefore, he prayed the Court to allow this petition. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent, opposed the bail petition, contending that the petitioners are involved in several criminal cases and have a history of past criminal conduct. He further submitted that there is no illegality in the order of the trial Court and that the trial Court has rightly passed the impugned order and prayed the Court to dismiss the petition.	
--	---	--

	Perused the contents of the affidavit filed in support of the petition. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and also considering that the hearing of the appeal might take considerable time as well as the fact that the maximum punishment imposed is five years, it is considered fit to suspend the sentence of imprisonment alone imposed against the petitioners. Accordingly, the sentence of imprisonment alone is suspended and the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on executing a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-Five Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like sum each, to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge for Trial of Cases under the SCs and STs (POA) Act,1989-cum-II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Nizamabad. SKS, J ss	
--	---	--

--	--	--	--