

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9955 of 2025

ORAL ORDER:

Seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner-accused in Crime No.92 of 2025 of Marikal Police Station, Narayanpet District, registered for the offences punishable under Section 108 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (for short 'BNS'), on bail, the present Criminal Petition is filed.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 24.06.2025, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report stating that his father had passed away 29 years ago, and his parents had two sons and a daughter. He stated that his mother was residing with his brother. Meanwhile, Degavath Sakremma had repeatedly shared her sufferings with the complainant, stating that the accused persons were harassing her and physically assaulting her for money. On 24.06.2025, the complainant was informed by his neighbor, Degavath Sharadamma, that Degavath Sakremma had hanged herself from the top rod of an APPE auto parked in the old house shed. The complainant immediately rushed to the scene and found his mother's body

lying on the ground. Upon enquiry, one Manemma narrated that, on 23.06.2025, the accused persons had fought with Degavath Sakremma, beat her, and abused her with curses, wishing for her death. Deeply distressed by their words and actions, Degavath Sakremma took the extreme step and died by suicide.

3. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case against the accused *vide* Crime No.92 of 2025 for the above said offences.

4. Heard Sri Gajanand Chakravarthy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri Syed Yasar Mamoon, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent - State.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence, and that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that the petitioner was arrested on 30.06.2025, and that the material part of the investigation has been completed, including the examination of 14 witnesses. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

6. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner by contending that the allegations against the petitioner were serious in nature and that accused No.1 is still absconding and that the investigation is in progress and if the petitioner is released on bail, at this stage, he may tamper with the evidence and may threaten the witnesses. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

7. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it is observed that the petitioner is in jail from 30.06.2025 and as the material part of the investigation is completed and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate at Narayanpet.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 A.M., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
 - iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(3) of BNSS.
8. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 12.08.2025
ss/js

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9955 of 2025

Date: 12.08.2025

ss/js