

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9547 of 2025

ORAL ORDER:

Seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.326 of 2025 of Jadcherla Town Police Station, Mahabubnagar District, on bail, the present Criminal Petition is filed.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 25.04.2025 de facto complainant lodged a report before the Police stating that her daughter became acquainted with Rajashekar. Later, he proposed to her daughter through Instagram, expressing his love and promise to marry her. On 30.01.2025, he took her to Sri Parameshwara Lodge near Gangapur Road under the pretext of going to a temple and forcibly committed sexual intercourse with her. When the victim threatened to inform her mother, he intimidated her by saying he would not marry her if she revealed the incident. Subsequently, he called her to the railway station, and after she arrived, he took her on a two-wheeler to the same lodge and again forcibly committed rape. On 21.03.2025, he picked her up at Nethaji Chowrasta,

took her to SGR Lodge near the new bus stand at Jadcherla, and once again committed rape. Later, he dropped her at her house and told her that he would not marry her. Based on the complaint, the police registered Crime No.326 of 2025 under Sections 137(2) and 65 of the B.N.S. Act and Section 5 r/w 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. Heard Sri Karunakar Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri Syed Yasar Mamoon, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the alleged offences and is in no way connected to the said crime. The petitioner was remanded to judicial custody on 10.05.2025, and till today, no charge sheet has been filed. The material part of the investigation has been completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the

petitioner stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature. The investigation was not yet completed. At this stage, granting of bail to the petitioner does not arise. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner has been in jail since 10.05.2025. Till today, no charge sheet has been filed even after 90 days. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, as well as the period of incarceration of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Jadcherla.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 21.08.2025
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9547 of 2025

Date: 21.08.2025

SAI