

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3173 OF 2018

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to allow the Civil Revision Petition by setting aside the docket order dt.2-2- 2018 passed by the XIII additional District and sessions Judge, R.R. District, at LB Nagar.

Between:

M.Radhika, W/o. Bikshapathi,
Aged 49 years, Occ- House Wife,
R/o. 42-142/1, Mahatma Gandhinagar,
Moulaali, Malakjgiri, R.R. District.

...Petitioner/Plaintiff

AND

1. S.Jayamma, W/o. S. Yadagiri,
Aged 56 years, Occ: House Wife,
R/o. 1-9-136, Kushaiguda,
Keesara (M), R.R. District
2. P. Umavathi @ Umadevi, D/o. Late K. Narsimha,
Aged 57 years, Occ: House Wife,
R/o. Plot No.2, Road No.2, Chandrapuri Colony,
Chintalkunta Check Post, L.B. Nagar, R.R. District.
3. K. Krishna Swamy, S/o. Late K. Narsimha,
Aged 55 years, Occ-Business,
R/o. 5-117, Jahangir Nagar Colony,
Chintalkunta, Hayathnagar (M), R.R. District
4. K. Srinivas,, S/o. Late. K. Narsimha,
Aged 53 years, Occ- Business,
R/o. 5-117, Jahangir Nagar Colony,
Chintalkunta, Hayathnagar (M), R.R. District.

...Respondents/Defendants

(Respondents No. 1 & 2 are not necessary parties to this petition.)

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in OS.NO.288/2009 pending on the file of the 13th Additional District and Sessions Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar.

For the Petitioners: Sri V. Satyam Reddy, Advocate

For the Respondents:

The Court made the following: **ORDER**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION No.3173 of 2018

ORDER:

Today when the matter has come up before this Court for hearing, learned counsel for the revision petitioner submits that during the pendency of the instant Civil Revision Petition, the trial Court has disposed of the main suit i.e., O.S.No.288 of 2009, therefore the cause in the Civil Revision Petition does not survive and has become infructuous.

2. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the revision petitioner, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any are pending, shall stand closed.

**SD/- MOMINA MEHAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XIII additional District and Sessions Judge, R.R. District, at LB Nager
2. One CC to Sri V. Satyam Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

KNK

CHR

HIGH COURT

DATED:18/08/2025



ORDER

CRP.No.3173 of 2018

REVISION IS DISMISSED AS INFRUCTUOUS,
NO COST

⑤ CUR
6/12/25