

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**MONDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 10157 OF 2012

Between:

Smt.Rahemunnisa Begum, W/o.Late Mohd.Hussain Housewife R/o.Door
No.6-2-242 to 249 A.C.Guards,Hyderabad

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District level Committee, Hyderabad, rep.by the, District Collector,Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Hyderabad District, Hyderabad
3. The Tahsildar, Asifnagar Mandal, Hyderabad District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction declaring the order of the 1st Respondent issue in R1/2287/2008-39 dated ..12.2011 in so far rejecting the application of the Petitioner for regularization as illegal, arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st Respondent to re-consider the application of the Petitioner bearing SI.No.2954 dated 20.06.2008 for transfer of rights in terms of G.O.Ms.No.166 dated 16.02.2008 by taking into consideration the report of the Tahsildar in Lr.No.C/ 596/2005 dated 14.09.2005

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2012(WPMP. NO: 12864 OF 2012)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 1st respondent to re-consider the application of the petitioner bearing SI.No.2954 dated 20.6.2008 for transfer of rights in terms of G.O.Ms.No.166 dated 16.2.2008 by taking into consideration the report of the Tahsildar in Lr.No.C/596/2005 dated 14.9.2005 pending disposal of the writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. O. MANOHER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

WRIT PETITION No.10157 of 2012

ORDER:

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions from the petitioner to pursue the matter further. In view of the same, he is unable to proceed further with the matter. It seems that the petitioner is not interested to prosecute this case.

2. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the Writ Petition is dismissed for Non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

3. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any in this petition, shall stand closed.

SD/- L. VIJAYA LAXMI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. O MANOHER REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

B M

Kr

HIGH COURT

DATED:18/08/2025



ORDER

WP.No.10157 of 2012

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION FOR
NON-PROSECUTION WITHOUT COSTS**

*6 copies
by
15/11/25*