

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION NO: 27357 OF 2006

Between:

S. Gnanasambandam, S/o. Late T.R.Siva Prakasam, aged about 62 years,
Assistant Security Commissioner, Crime Intelligence Branch, Railway
Protection Force, Rail Nilayam, Secunderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Railway Protection Force, Represented by Director General, Railway Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. Union of India, Rep by Chairman/Railway Board, Ministry of Railways, Railway Bhavan, New Delhi.
3. Departmental Promotion Committee, Rep by its Chairman, Railway Bhavan, New Delhi.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India, the action of the respondents in passing the order impugned through his Order No.2001/SEC(E)/PM-2/3CC New Delhi dt. 18-1-2003 declining to regularize the services of the petitioner as Assistant Security Commissioner and declining to promote the petitioner as Divisional Security Commissioner and it is further consequently prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to treat the petitioner as having been regularized as Assistant Security Commissioner in the year 2000 with retrospective effect from the year 1997 and to treat him as having been promoted as Divisional Security Commissioner in the year 2000 as his juniors were

promoted as Divisional Security Commissioner in the year 2000 who were regularized as Assistant Security Commissioner in the year 2000 and it is further consequentially prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to accord the petitioner as consequential benefits such as monitory benefits and other benefits which he is entitled to under his service conditions attached to the post of Divisional Security Commissioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI S.R.SANKU (NOT PRESENT)

**Counsel for the Respondents: SMT L.PRANATHI REDDY,
SC FOR CENTRAL GOVT.**

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION No.27357 of 2006

ORDER:

On 21.07.2023, 17.07.2025, 24.07.2025 and again on 01.08.2025, there was no representation for the petitioner. Writ Petition pertains to the year 2006. As such, the matter was directed to be listed on 07.08.2025 under the caption 'for Dismissal'.

2) Today, *in spite* of the matter being listed under the said caption, there is no representation for the petitioner either in the forenoon session or in the afternoon session. It seems, the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the matter further.

3) Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. No costs.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K.BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI S.R. SANKU, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SMT L.PRANATHI REDDY, SC FOR CENTRAL GOVT., [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

BSR
LS

HIGH COURT

DATED: 07/08/2025

ORDER

WP.No.27357 of 2006



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION,
WITHOUT COSTS

5
G.B.
10/10/25