

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 20410 OF 2007

Between:

T. Srinivas, S/o. Gangaram, aged about 35 years, Occ: Contract Labour, R/o. 12-4-52, Arsapally, Nizamabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Northern Power Distribution Company Limited, Rep by its Chairman and Managing Director Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
2. Northern Power Distribution Company Limited, Rep by its Chief General Manager (HRD) Warangal.
3. Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, Andhra Pradesh, Northern Power Distribution Company Limited, Nizamabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction especially one in the nature of Mandamus by declaring the action of the respondents rejecting the case of the petitioners in its letter dated 13-7-2005 though he is fully eligible as improper, illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the judgment of this Honble Court in WP No. 5158 of 2003 and batch and WP No. 3053 of 2005 and consequently direct the respondents to consider petitioners case for the appointment of the post LDC or any other equivalent post as per the BPMS No. 36 dated 18-5-1997 by taking cognizance of petitioners service certificates and set aside the Lr.No. CGM/(HRD)/GM(S)/AS-II/ PO.IV./510/05 dated 13-7-2005.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SMT A.PANKAJA (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI N.SREEDHAR REDDY, SC FOR TGSPDCL

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.20410 OF 2007

ORDER:

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 22.08.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Hence, the matter was directed to be listed on 29.08.2025 under the caption 'for dismissal'.

2. Even on 01.09.2025, though the matter was listed under the caption 'for dismissal', there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was directed to be posted to 08.09.2025 under the same caption. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the matter.

3. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

SD/- C.DEEPIKA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SMT A.PANKAJA, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI N.SREEDHAR REDDY, SC FOR TGSPDCL [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

BSR *pma.*


TKS

HIGH COURT

DATED:08/09/2025

ORDER

WP.No.20410 of 2007



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION,
WITHOUT COSTS**

(S)PMC.
17/12/25