

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR) NO: 1277 OF 2017

Between:

1. B. Kalpana, D/o. B. Mohan Reddy, aged about 46 years, S.G.Teacher, MPP School, Umarkhanguda, Hayathnagar Mandai, Ranga Reddy Dist. R/o Plot.No.57, Laxmi Mega Township, Ragannaguda, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District
2. P. Sita Maha Lakshmi, S/o, P. Krishna Murthy, aged about 45 years, S.G.Teacher, M.P.P. School, Uppariguda, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy Dist. R/o H.No.5-4-1305/1, Saradanagar, Phase- III, Vanastalipuram, Hyderabad

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The District Educational Officer, Ranga Reddy District at Hyderabad-4.
2. The Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, at Hyderabad-4
3. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep., by its Principal Secretary, School Education Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad-22.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to declare the impugned action of the 1st respondent in not promoting them to the post of LFL Head Master by deleting their names from the purely tentative seniority of SGTs list for the post of LFL Head Masters dt. 31-10-2013 and promoting their juniors vide proceedings Rc.No.9113/A2/2010, dt. 26-11-2013, as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, against A.P. School Education Subordinate Service Rules issued vide G.O. Ms. No.12, School Education Department, dt. 23-01-2009, Rule 5 (b), 6 (i) and 11 (b) of A.P. State and Subordinate Service Rules 1996 and G.O. Ms. No.67, Education Department, dt. 20-11-2010 and in violation of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India and consequently hold that the applicants are entitled for promotion to the post of

LFL Head Masters with all consequential benefits from the date of promotion of their juniors.

Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI P.VEERABHADRA REDDY (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR) No.1277 of 2017

ORDER:

On 08.09.2025, 11.09.2025 and 22.09.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners. As such, the matter was directed to be listed today, i.e., 09.10.2025, under the caption, 'for dismissal'.

2. In spite of the matter being listed under the caption, 'for dismissal', there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, either in the forenoon or afternoon sessions. It can, thus, be inferred that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the case any further.

3. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed. No costs.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K. BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI P.VEERABHADRA REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

BSR

TJ


NT

HIGH COURT

DATED: 09/10/2025

ORDER

WP(TR).No.1277 of 2017



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION (TR)
FOR NON-PROSECUTION,
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑥
NT
30/12/25