

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE P.SREE SUDHA

WRIT PETITION NO: 19408 OF 2005

Between:

N.D.Gandhi S/o. N.Raghavendra Rao (Late), Aged: 58 years, H.No.12-10-210,
Brahmin Basthi, Namalagundu, Secunderabad, Hyderabad, Secunderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

The Secretary, South Central Railway Employees, Cooperative Credit Society Ltd.,
Near Himmathnagar Post Office, Secunderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of respondent in refusing/rejecting the claim of the petitioner vide impugned proceedings dt.17/09/2004 vide CCS/14/10683/2004 as illegal, void and opposed to Art.14, 19, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India by striking down the impugned proceedings and consequently direct the respondents to release the benefits of pay accrued to the petitioner on par with his juniors and pay the same as much time has passed and moreover the benefit realised would be helpful for his medical treatment.

Counsel for the Petitioner: M/s. ABBURI PRASANNA LAKSHMI

Counsel for the Respondents: M/s. ANJALI AGARWAL, STANDING COUNSEL

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE P. SREE SUDHA

WRIT PETITION No.19408 OF 2005

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner for not fixing the pay on par with his juniors.

2. Perusal of the record shows that the petitioner submitted representation to the South Central Railway Employees Cooperation Credit Society Limited and in reply, respondent *vide* proceedings, dated 17.09.2004 stated that the petitioner is not eligible for promotion even on adhoc basis as major penalty charge sheet was pending against him and he is not entitled for any fixation benefits on par with his juniors

3. The writ petitioner did not turn up.

4. As the writ petitioner failed to pursue the litigation, no purpose would be served in continuing the same.

5. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

6. Miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K. AMMAJI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER


To,

1. One CC to M/s. ABBURI PRASANNA LAKSHMI, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to M/s. ANJALI AGARWAL, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

MP
GJP CHR

HIGH COURT

DATED:28/02/2025



ORDER

WP.No.19408 of 2005

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑤ CHR
20/05/25