HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

WRIT PETITION NO: 6305 OF 2019

Between:

K.Srinivas, S/o. Sathaiah, aged 58 years, Tahsildar, Narva Mandal, Narayanapet District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Telangana (Revenue Department), Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Special Chief Secretary and Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Malkajgiri-Medcal District.
4. The Joint Collector, Malkajgiri-Medcal District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ of Mandamus calling for the records relating to CCLAs Proc. No. VSI(2)/999/2015 from the file of the 2nd Respondent and quash the Order Dtd.18-12-2018 passed therein in imposing major penalty of withholding (3) annual increments with cumulative effect on the petitioner as illegal, unjust, against the principles of natural justice, in violation of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India, consequently direct the respondents herein to restore the annual increments forthwith that were denied pursuant to the impugned order.

IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the Order Dtd: 18-12-2018 passed by the 2nd respondent in Proc. No:VSI(2)/999/2015 imposing major penalty of withholding (3) annual increments with cumulative effect against me in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI N.RAMESH KUMAR (NOT PRESENT)
Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA

WRIT PETITION No.6305 OF 2019

ORDER:-

1. No representation for the petitioner on call.

2. A perusal of proceeding sheet discloses that there was no representation for the petitioners on 30.08.2024, 03.04.2025 and 28.04.2025. Even today, though the matter is listed under the caption "For Dismissal", there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case.

3. Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed as non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

4. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- N. CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI N.RAMESH KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies


PSK.
GJP

HIGH COURT

DATED:09/06/2025

ORDER

WP.No.6305 of 2019



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON PROSECUTION WITHOUT COSTS

(6)

Kpr
15/7/25