

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2639 of 2025

ORAL ORDER:

Seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.01 of 2025 of Kondapur Police Station, Sangareddy District, on bail, the present Criminal Petition is filed.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 07.01.2024, at 4:00 PM, a minor girl's statement was recorded at the Koddapur Police Station. She stated that she has a sister, and their parents work in agriculture. She studied up to 8th class in her village school, then attended a government hostel in Hyderabad for 9th and 10th class. In December, she met a man named Vinod from Haridaspur village when he came to their farm with a machine to cut red gram. They started talking, and Vinod expressed his love for her. She reciprocated his feelings, and they exchanged phone numbers. Later, on 01.01.2025, Vinod visited her at her hostel, and she went with him to his uncle's house, where he forcibly engaged in physical activity with her. Vinod dropped her back at the

hostel, and her teacher, suspicious of Vinod, called her mother. Her parents took her to the police station, where she initially lied about her encounter with Vinod. She was kept at the Sakhi Center overnight and recorded her statement the next day.

3. Heard Sri Palle Srihari Nath, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri Syed Yasar Mamoon, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and unconnected to the case, stating that the complaint alleges the accused took the victim on 04.01.2025, but no complaint was filed until 07.01.2025, and the victim did not mention the incident to anyone. He further submitted that the complaint is false and frivolous, lodged after consultation with the father of the victim, and that the statement of the victim was influenced by her parents. He further submitted that the victim's statement, recorded under Section 164 of the CrPC, does not mention rape, but rather expresses love for the accused. Therefore, he prayed the

Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature. Therefore, at this stage, granting of bail to the petitioner does not arise. Hence, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. After considering the submissions made by both learned counsel and reviewing the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner had been in jail since 24.01.2025. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation is completed and 13 witnesses were examined, and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the Court deems it fit and proper to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned

Judicial Magistrate of First Class,
(Special Mobile Court), Sangareddy.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 27.02.2025
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2639 of 2025

Date: 27.02.2025

SAI