

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. BHASKAR REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 397 OF 2025

Contempt Case under Section 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the Respondents herein for willfully violating the Honble High Court order dated 03/05/2024 passed in W.P.No.10155 of 2024.

Between:

1. South Central Railway O.B.C Employees Association (SCROBCEA), Regd. No. 4183/93, Represented by its General Secretary G. Prasad, Aged 51 years, office at Pilcharpile, Secunderabad. PIN Code -500025.
2. G. Prasad, S/o. Late G. Sreeramulu Aged 51 years, Occupation: General Secretary of South Central Railway O.B.C Employees Association, R/o. H.No.8-4-87/4/1, Udaynagar Colony, Karmanghat, Hyderabad. PIN Code - 500 079.

...Petitioner/Petitioners

AND

Shri Satish Kumar, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Railway Board,
Rail Bhavan, New Delhi – 110001.

... Respondent /Contemnors

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri V Ravindranath Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent : _ _ _

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.BHASKAR REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE No.397 of 2025

ORDER:

This Contempt Case is filed alleging non-compliance of the order, dated 03.05.2024 passed by this Court in W.P.No.10155 of 2024.

2. This Court, *vide* order, dated 03.05.2025, directed both the parties to maintain *status quo* in all respects. The grievance of the petitioners is that after expiry of the term of existing body, the respondents instead of conducting elections have extended the term of the existing body.

3. In view of the above and since this Court has granted interim order directing both the parties to maintain the *status quo* in all respects over the elected body and as it is stated that the term of the elected body has been over, it gives a fresh cause of action to file the fresh writ petition and on the ground of *status quo* the respondent authorities have not prohibited to discharge their statutory functions for extension of the term of existing body. Therefore, *prima*

facie this Court is of the view that there is no willful or deliberate action on the part of the respondents in disobeying the order of this Court in W.P.No.10155 of 2024, dated 03.05.2024. However, if the petitioners are having any grievance with regard to the extension of the existing body for further period, they are at liberty to assail the same, in accordance with law.

4. Accordingly, this Contempt Case is dismissed. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Sd/- M. RAMANA KRISHNA
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//



SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri V Ravindranath Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CD Copies

ADK/gh


PA

HIGH COURT

DATED:14/02/2025

ORDER

CC.No.397 of 2025



**DISMISSING THE CONTEMPT CASE
WITHOUT COSTS**

(L) PA
2/4/25