IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 5745 OF 2022

Between:

S. Shravan Kumar, S/o S. Simhachary, Aged 51 years, R/o H.No.1-8-353, Near RTC Kalabhavan. Chikkadpalli, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, T.S. Secretariat. Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajendra Nagar Division. RR District.
4. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order, or particularly ore in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.3, 4 and their sub-ordinates in interfering and trying to remove the fencing stones in respect of his Plot No.226, admeasuring 300 Sq.yds in Sy.No.36/24, situated at Phase II, Vinayak Nagar Colony, Gopanpally Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District and threatening to dispossess the petitioner from her plot without issuing any notice or without following due process of law, is illegal, arbitrary, violative or Article 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondent No.3,4 and their sub-ordinates not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner in respect of her Plot No.226, admeasuring 300 Sq.yds, comprised in Sy.No.36/24, situated at Phase II, Vinayak Nagar Colony, Gopanpally Village,

Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without following due process of law and without issuing notice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct Respondent No.3 and 4 and their sub-ordinates not to interfere with the possession of the Petitioner in respect of her Plot No. 226, admeasuring 300 Sq.yds, comprised in Sy.No.36/24, situated at Phase II,Vinayak Nagar Colony, Gopanpally Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without following due process of law and without issuing any notice.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MS PORIKA ABINANDANA MALA

Counsel for the Respondents: AGP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

WRIT PETITION No.5745 of 2022

THE 03RD DAY OF DECEMBER 2025

Between:

S. Shravan Kumar

...Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Department of Revenue, Secretariat,
Hyderabad & Three others.

...Respondents

ORDER:

Heard Ms. Porika Abinandana Mala, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents and perused the material on record.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner filed the present Writ Petition seeking to declare the action of respondent Nos.3 and 4 and their sub-ordinates in interfering with and attempting to remove the fencing stones in respect of petitioner's Plot No.226, admeasuring 300 Sq.yards in Sy.No.36/24, situated at Phase II, Vinayak Nagar Colony,

Gopanpally Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Rang. Reddy District (for brevity, "the subject property") and threatening to dispossess the petitioner from his plot, without issuing any notice and without following due process of law, as illegal and arbitrary.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that while admitting the matter, this Court passed interim order dated 22.02.2022 with a direction to maintain *status quo* existing as on that date until the next date of hearing in respect of the subject property. However, in spite of lapse of more than three and half years, the respondent authorities did not file a counter or a vacate petition denying the averments made by the petitioner in the writ affidavit. In view of the same, learned counsel requested this Court to allow the Writ Petition by directing the respondent authorities not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner in respect to the subject property without following due process of law.

4. On the other hand, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue submits that if the petitioner is in possession of the subject property, the respondents will not interfere with the petitioner's possession over the subject property, without following

due process of law and requested this Court to pass appropriate orders.

5. In view of the submissions made on either side, this Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondent authorities not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner over the subject property, without following due procedure of law. There shall be no order as to costs.

6. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

SD/-A.H.S. GOWRI SHANKAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER


To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, State of Telangana, T.S. Secretariat. Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajendra Nagar Division. RR District.
4. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
5. One CC to SRI. PORIKA ABINANDANA MALA Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad . [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

DAN

HIGH COURT

DATED:03/12/2025



ORDER

WP.No.5745 of 2022

DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION

WITHOUT COSTS

10
ASM
5/1/26.