IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY,THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH

WRIT PETITION NO: 3660 OF 2022

Between:

Meena Rajwani, W/o Kamal Rajwani Aged 44 years, R/o H.N0.1-2-51/101, Sri Krupa Apartments, Gaganmahal, Domal Guda, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Telangana, represented by its Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, T.S. Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajendra Nagar Division, RR District.
4. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order, or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.3, 4 and their sub-ordinates in interfering and trying to remove the fencing stones in respect of his Plot No.527, admeasuring 150 Sq.yds in Sy.No.36/24, situated at Phase II, Vinayak Nagar Colony, Gowmpally Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District and threatening to dispossess the petitioner from her plot without issuing any notice or without following due process of law, is illegal, arbitrary, violative or Article 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondent No.3,4 and their sub-ordinates not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner in respect of her Plot No.527, admeasuring 150 Sq.yds, comprised in Sy.No.36/24, situated at Phase II, Vinayak Nagar Colony, Gopanpally Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without following due process of law and without issuing notice

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct Respondent No.3 and 4 and their sub-ordinates not to interfere with the possession of the Petitioner in respect of her Plot No. 52, admeasuring 150 Sq.yds, comprised in Sy.No.36/24, situated at Phase II, Vinayak Nagar Colony, Gopanpally Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without following due process of law and without issuing any notice

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI C. VISWANATH REP
SRI. PORIKA ABINANDANA MALA

Counsel for the Respondents: Smt. S. SRAVANTHI, AGP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.SARATH

WRIT PETITION No.3660 of 2022

THE 03RD DAY OF DECEMBER 2025

Between:

Meena Rajwani

...Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Department of Revenue, Secretariat,
Hyderabad & Three others.

...Respondents

ORDER:

Heard Sri C.Viswanath, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Smt. S.Sravanthi, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents and perused the material on record.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner filed the present Writ Petition seeking to declare the action of respondent Nos.3 and 4 and their sub-ordinates in interfering with and attempting to remove the fencing stones in respect of petitioner's Plot No.527, admeasuring 150 Sq.yards in Sy.No.36/24, situated at Phase II, Vinayak Nagar Colony,

Gopanpally Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District (for brevity, "the subject property") and threatening to dispossess the petitioner from her plot, without issuing any notice and without following due process of law, as illegal and arbitrary.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that while admitting the matter, this Court passed interim order dated 28.01.2022 with a direction to maintain *status quo* existing as on that date until the next date of hearing in respect of the subject property. However, in spite of lapse of more than three and half years, the respondent authorities have not filed counter or vacate petition for denying the averments made by the petitioner in the writ affidavit. In view of the same, learned counsel requested this Court to allow the Writ Petition by directing the respondent authorities not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner in respect to the subject property without following due process of law.

4. On the other hand, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue submits that if the petitioner is in possession of the subject property, the respondents will not interfere with the petitioner's possession over the subject property, without following

due process of law and requested this Court to pass appropriate orders.

5. In view of the submissions made on either side, this Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondent authorities not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner over the subject property, without following due procedure of law. There shall be no order as to costs.

6. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

SD/-S. MALLIKARJUNA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, T.S. Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajendra Nagar Division, RR District.
4. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
5. One CC to SRI. PORIKA ABINANDANA MALA, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE ,High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

B M

HIGH COURT

DATED:03/12/2025



ORDER

WP.No.3660 of 2022

DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS

(10)

9/1/26