

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION NO: 760 OF 2018

Between:

R. Satyanarayana, S/o.Satyanarayana, Aged about 62 years, Occ Business,
Presently R/o.10-5-688/A, Thukaramgate, Secunderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad Commissionariat Gachibowli, Hyderabad.
3. The Dy. Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad.
4. The Assistant Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ of Mandamus by declaring that inaction of the Respondent No.2 to 4 are in contrary to the law, arbitrary and illegal, while directing or taking action against the Respondent No.2 to 4 for not taking action as per orders dated 30/09/2016 for comply of the direction to the Respondents to registered the case by considering the representations dated 17/8/2016 and 11/11/2016.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI THUMU CHANDRA SHEKAR (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N. TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION No.760 OF 2018

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed with the following relief:

"To issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly a writ of mandamus declaring that the inaction of the respondent Nos.2 to 4 are in contrary to the law, arbitrary and illegal, while directing or taking action against the respondent Nos.2 to 4 for not taking action as per orders dated 30.09.2016 for comply of the direction to the respondents to register the case by considering the representations dated 17.08.2016 and 11.11.2016....."

2. None appeared for the petitioner.
3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home submits that, based on the representation dated 11.11.2016, *Crime No.350 of 2016* was registered. Upon completion of investigation, a charge sheet was filed, and the case was taken on file as *C.C. No.359 of 2016* on the file of the Court of the Principal Junior Civil Judge, Malkajgiri. After due trial, the accused were convicted by judgment dated 30.01.2018 and sentenced to undergo two years'

imprisonment. Hence, the contention raised by the petitioner does not survive for consideration.

4. I have perused the material available on record.

5. The contention of the petitioner is that the respondent police authorities failed to act upon his representations dated 17.08.2016 and 11.11.2016 for registration of a crime, despite the orders of this Court dated 30.09.2016. However, as reported by the learned Assistant Government Pleader, subsequent developments during the pendency of this writ petition reveal that the petitioner's representation was duly considered, Crime No.350 of 2016 was registered, and the investigation culminated in a trial, which resulted in conviction of the accused.

6. This factual position clearly establishes that the grievance raised by the petitioner has been effectively redressed by the respondent police authorities. Accordingly, nothing further survives in this writ petition for consideration.

7. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed as infructuous. No cost.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- K. BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI THUMU CHANDRA SHEKAR, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

BN/MP

PMG.

HIGH COURT

DATED:30/10/2025

ORDER

WP.No.760 of 2018



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
AS INFRACTUOUS
WITHOUT COSTS

6 pmg.
4/2/26